			<b>~</b> ~
וטאו	$(C, \mathcal{M})$		<b>\</b> \\'
	(し))		W
		u	u

	<b>"-9</b> ?	COF	<b>୬</b> ₩
1 2 3 4	San Francisco, California 94108/	2011 DEC 21  CLERK U.S. DISTI CENTRAL DISTI LOS ANG	Wilderson To the state of the s
5 6 7 8	JAY TEITELBAUM (admitted pro hac vice) TEITELBAUM & BASKIN LLP 1 Barker Avenue, Third Floor White Plains, New York 10601 Telephone 914.437.7670 Email: jteitelbaum@tblawllp.com	AM IO: 51	- Tunada
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs.		
10 11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI		•.
12	PARENT COMPANY PLAINTIFFS: No. 11-CV-7577-	PSG (PI Av)	
13 14	William A. Niese; James R. Simpson; W. Thomas Johnson, Jr.; Richard T. Schlosberg, III; Efrem Zimbalist, III; Fred A. Abatemarco; Gerald J. Alcantar; TRANSFERS O	NDED COMPLA D RECOVER F PROPERTY (	
15	Richard S. Alfano; C. Michael Armstrong; Gary M. Arnold; John M. Arthur; William TRIBUNE COM	PANY AND	

H. Barlow; David S. Barrett; Bruce E.

Barwick; Todd A. Becker; George Bell; Susan P. Bell; Horst A. Bergmann; Edward L. Blood; Gregory L. Bowlin; Robert F. Brandt; Alan L. Brauer; Leo Brennan; Kenneth H. Brief; Robert N. Brisco; Patricia G. Campbell; Dian S.

Carpenter; John S. Carroll; Kathleen M. Casey; Rajender K. Chandhok; Randolph R. Charles; Janet T. Clayton; Patrick A. Clifford; Andrew W. Clurman; C. Shelby

Coffey, III; Stuart K. Coppens; George J. Cotliar; William D. Crawford; Barbara R. De Young; John F. Dill; Ann E. Dilworth; Kathryn M. Downing; Beverly Dreher; Elizabeth V. Drewry; Michael S. 21

Dubester; John M. Dyer; Robert F

16

17

Erburu; David A. Esgro; Joanne K. Falk; Peter J.Fernald; James E. Fitzgerald; Michael J. Forgione; Donald H. Forst; Douglas Fox; Vance I. Furukawa; Debra A. Gastler; Gary P. Goldstein; Edward J. Gottsman; Marian Lewis (for the estate of Kenneth Graham); Robert T. Grant.

Kenneth Graham); Robert T. Grant; Richard Guerrero; Lee J. Guittar; James F. Guthrie; Delynn T. Guttry; Kenneth L.

AND SUBSIDIARIES UNDER THE UNIFORM FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ACT

Case No. 11-CV-7577-PSG (PLAx) SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Halajian; Charlotte H. Hall; Jean Halle; Michael J. Haugh; Janis Heaphy; James D. Helin; Curtis A. Hessler; James H. Higby; Lawrence M. Higby; Raymond Holton; Karen Laukka Horn; Leslie M. Howard; Mark E. Howe; Joseph M. Hughes; Alberto Ibarguen; James Imbriaco; Steven L. Isenberg; William R. Isinger; Raymond Jansen, Jr.; Edward E. Johnson; Robert M. Johnson; Mary E. Junck; Scott W. Kabak; Judith S. Kallet; William F. Keller; Joan Kellermann (for the estate of Donald S. Kellermann); Victoria King; Jason E. Klein; Jeffrey S. Klein; Susan K. Klutnick; James L. Kopper; Sally Kuekes; Mark H. Kurtich; Kimberly McCleary LaFrance; Jeffrey W. Lankey; David A. Laventhol; R. Marilyn Lee Schneider; Martin P. Levin; Jesse E. Levine; Nancy Lobdell; Robert G. Magnuson; Anthony J. Marro; Donald S. Maxwell; Kathleen G. McGuinness; John C. McKeon; Jack E. Meadows; Stephen C. Meier; Janie Molvar; Roger H. Molvar; Durham J. Monsma; John T. Nash; Nicholas H. Niles; James H. Norris; James H. Nuckols; Nancy W. O'Neill; Robert T. O'Sullivan; Francis P. Pandolfi; Michael C. Parks; Jeffrey N. Paro; John F. Patinella; Janette O. Payne; Carol Perruso; Victor A. Perry; Maureen G. Peterson; 16 Martha A. Petty; Jack L. Plank; Elizabeth 17 F. Redmond; S. Keating Rhoads; Michael R. Riley; Michael G. Rose; William J. 18 Rowe; Jerome S. Rubin; Alexander Sann; Geraldine Scally; Herbert K. Schnall; 19 Charles I. Schneider; Hilary A. Schneider; Howard S. Schneider; Brian J. Sellstrom; 20 James D. Shaw; Dennis A. Shirley; Gary K. Shorts; Louis Sito; Richard W. Stanton; Judith L. Śweeney; Stender E. Sweeney; James S. Toedtman; Sharon S. Tunstall; 22 I Michael S. Udovic; Michael J. Valenti; Karen J. Wada; Claudia A. Wade; James W. Wallace; Michael E. Waller; Larry W. Wangberg; Howard Weinstein; William 23 D. Wiegand; Mary A. Wild; Mark H. Willes; Phillip L. Williams; Hazel E. Wilson; Julia C. Wilson; Harold F. Woldt, 25 Jr.; Leo Wolinsky; Donald F. Wright; 26 John W. Young; John J. Zakarian; Norene Zapanta (trustee for Dr. Edward Zapanta 27 Trūst); SUBSIDIARY PLAINTIFFS (without

duplicates): Sharon M. Bowen; James 1 Willard Colston; Grace E. Crowder; John Darnall; Kenneth G. Davis; Mary M. Downes; Paul H. Egan; Arnold J. Kleiner; John R. Murphy; Barbara Sample (for the estate of Jack W. Neely); Carolyn Selzer; William F. Thomas; Caroline Thorpe; Robert E. Trainor; Herbert J. Vida. Plaintiffs, 5 VS. 6 Chandler Trust No. 1; Chandler Trust No. 2: Philip Chandler: Residuary TrustNo. 2; May C. Goodan Trust No. 2; Ruth C. Von Platen Trust No. 2; Dorothy B. Chandler Marital Trust No. 2; Dorothy B. Chandler Residuary Trust No. 2; HOC Trust No. 2 FBO Scott Haskins; HOC Trust No. 2 FBO John Haskins; HOC Trust No. 2 FBO Eliza Haskins; HOC GST Exempt 11 Trust No. 2. FBO Scott Haskins; HOC GST Exempt Trust No. 2. FBO John 12 Haskins; HOC GST Exempt Trust No. 2. FBO Eliza Haskins; Alberta W. Chandler Marital Trust No. 2; Earl E. Crowe Trust No. 2; Patricia Crowe Warren Residuary Trust No. 2; Helen Garland Trust No. 2 (For Gwendolyn Garland Babcock); Helen Garland Trust No. 2 (For William M. Garland III); Helen Garland Trust No. 2 (For Hillary Duque Garland); Garland Foundation Trust No. 2; Marian Otis Chandler Trust No. 2; American 17 Enterprise Investment Services Inc.; 18 Reliance Trust Company; The Bank of Nova Scotia; Union Bank of California, 19 N.A.; T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.; Vanguard Group, Inc.; The Vanguard Group, Inc.; The Vanguard Group Inc.; Vanguard Financial Group, Inc.; Wedbush 20 21 Securities, Inc.; Nancy Fay Johnson; : Hernandez Jr.; Jeffrey Enrique Chandler; Roger Goodan; William Stinehart Jr.; Scott Smith; 23 and 24 Antoinette B Brumbaugh Ttee U/A Dtd 25 10/05/94 By Antoinette B Brumbaugh Pledged To ML Lender; Aviv Nevo; B Woods & L Mitchell Ttee -26 Woods/Mitchell Family Trust U/A DTD 27 01/25/1999; Barclays Gbl Investors NA; Bechtel; Bellsouth Corp. Non-28

	B
	Representable Health Care Trust; Bernard
	And Barbro Osner 2006 Charitable Rem
2	And Barbro Osher 2006 Charitable Rem Unitrust #2; Bernard Osher 2006 Charitable; Bernard Osher Trust U/A Dtd
	3/8/88; CA Public Employee Retirement
3	System; California Ironworkers Field;
	CALPERS (California Pub. Emp. Retire.
4	Sys.); CALPERS (Dynamic Completion
5	System; California Ironworkers Field; CALPERS (California Pub. Emp. Retire. Sys.); CALPERS (Dynamic Completion Fund); Camilla Chandler Family Foundation; Carl Zlatchin Profit; Catherine A Verdusco Ttee U/A Dtd 12/13/1989 Benjamin J. Verdusco Trust:
	Catherine A Verdusco Ttee II/A Dtd
6	12/13/1989 Benjamin J. Verdusco Trust;
_	Catholic Healthcare West Chw; Charles
7	Catholic Healthcare West Chw; Charles Schwab Inv. Mgt. Co; Cutler Group LP; Daryl V Dichek; Eureka Options LLC;
8	Daryl V Dichek; Eureka Options LLC;
C	First Republic Bank; Glass Lewis & Co.; Herbert G. Lau Profit Sharing Orp Participation; Iris B. Mahoney & Paul M.
9	Participation: Iris B. Mahonev & Paul M
10	Revocable Trust U/A/D 04/10/98; Irving
11	& Varda Rabin 1992 Revocable Trust;
11	Revocable Trust U/A/D 04/10/98; Irving & Varda Rabin 1992 Revocable Trust; Janna L Gadden; Joy Leichenger Ttee - Joy Leichenger Trust - U/A DTD 08/02/1978; Kaiser Fdn Hlth
12	08/02/1978: Kaiser Edn Hlth
	" 1 10115   1105pital, Leoliaiu F. Hill. Hee Hill
13	Revocable Living Trust Dtd 12/24/91; Lucile M Dunn Ttee U/A Dtd 12/19/1991
14	Lucile M Dunn Ttee U/A Dtd 12/19/1991
. 14	
15	and Jean F Bell; Mellon Bank N.A. Emp Ben Pl; Monumental Life Insurance Co;
	Nancy Fay Johnson; Patricia H Yeomans Ttee - The Yeomans Family Trust U/A 2/22/92; Paul M Mahoney Ttee U/W/O Paul P Mahoney DTD 12/28/1978; PG&E Qual Cpuc Ndt Partnership; Prism Partners I, L.P.; Prism Partners III Leveraged L.P.: Re Camden Asset Mount
16	Ttee - The Yeomans Family Trust U/A
17	2/22/92; Paul M Mahoney Ttee U/W/O
17	Oual Crue Ndt Partnershin: Prism
18	Partners I. I. P. Prism Partners III
	" Doveraged, D.I., Ite Callidell Asset ivigill
19	LP; Reinhold & Shelley Weege I tee -
20	Weege Family Trust - U/A 6/21/89;
20	Richard & Carol Askin Ttee U/A Dtd
21	09/27/1990 By Askin Family Trust; Robert D Friedman Ttee - Friedman
	Robert D Friedman Ttee - Friedman Living Trust -U/A 08/04/99; Rosenberg
22	Revocable Trust – Claude And Louise
23	Rosenberg Trustees; RWB; Sacramento
رے	Cnty Emp Ret System; Samuel Moore Ttee - Samuel S. Moore Trust - U/A DTD
24	10/11/1988: San Pasqual Fiduciary Trust
	10/11/1988; San Pasqual Fiduciary Trust Co.; SC Edison Nuclear Facilities; Sempra
25	Energy Pension Wistr Trst; Shirley Dichek
26	Ttee - Dichek Family Trust - U/A
20	12/11/74 FBO Shirely Dichek; STRS;
27	Synergy Capital Management Llc; The Spurgeon Family Limited; The Whittier
	Trust Company; University Of CA
28	Regents; V Trader Pro LLC; Verna R.
Ì	' 

1	Harrah Trust Special Account Dtd 9/5/86;
1	Water And Power Employees' Ret;
2	Weintraub Capital Management; WG Trading Co LP; Will K. Weinstein Revocable Trust U/A Dtd 2-27-90; William J Bell Ttee William - James Bell 1993 Tr U/A 8/23/93(Cash & Holding
	Revocable Trust U/A Dtd 2-27-90:
3	William J Bell Ttee William - James Bell
4	1993 Tr U/A 8/23/93(Cash & Holding
7	Account), Frish Partners IV Leveraged
5	Fund: Prism Partners II Offshore Fund:
	Prism Partners II, L.P.; Catherine A.
6	Verdusco as trustee U/A Dtd 12/13/1989
7	Francesca J. Verdusco Trust; Stanford
,	University LCV; Kesearch Attiliates
8	Partners LLC: Sempra Energy Pension
_	Offshore Fund; Prism Partners Offshore Fund; Prism Partners II Offshore Fund; Prism Partners II, L.P.; Catherine A. Verdusco as trustee U/A Dtd 12/13/1989 Francesca J. Verdusco Trust; Stanford University LCV; Research Affiliates Fundamental Index LP; MC Investment Partners LLC; Sempra Energy Pension Master Trust; Pacific Gas & Electric Company-PG&E QUAL CPUC NDT Partnership; Los Angeles Dept. Of Water And Power Employee RET-T ROWE PRICE LG VAL – Disability & Death Benefit Insurance Plan; EB RUSSELL 1000 VALUE STOCK-EB DV LARGE CAP VALUE STOCK INDEX; Pacific Select Fund - Equity Index Portfolio;
9	Company-PG&E QUAL CPUC NDT
10	Partnership; Los Angeles Dept. Of Water
10	PRICE LG VAL — Disability & Death
11	Benefit Insurance Plan; EB RUSSELL
10	1000 VALUE STOCK-EB DV LARGE
12	CAP VALUE STOCK INDEX; Pacific
13	Schwab 1000 Index Fund (2M25):
	Schwab S&P 500 Index Fund (2M32):
14	Select Fund - Equity Index Portfolio; Schwab 1000 Index Fund (2M25); Schwab S&P 500 Index Fund (2M32); Schwab Institutional Select S&P 500 Fund
1.5	
15	Index Fund (2M40); Schwab Fundamental
16	Fire and Police Pension Plan: San
	Francisco City & County Employees'
17	Index Fund (2M40); Schwab Fundamental US LG CO (2MB1); City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan; San Francisco City & County Employees' Retirement System; Harvey Mudd
18	College; City Employee's Retirement
10	College; City Employee's Retirement System of the City of Los Angeles; The Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford
19	Junior University: 02114 Whittier TR CO
_	Value A 01791; Southern California
20	Junior University; 02114 Whittier TR CO Value A 01791; Southern California Edison, NDT; B4301 First Republic Bank; and DOES 1 through 2000,
21	and DOES 1 through 2000,
1	Defendants.
22	Dolonality.
	And the second s

Plaintiffs, as retirees of The Times Mirror Company ("<u>Times Mirror</u>"), the Tribune Company ("<u>Tribune</u>") and/or one or more of 110 affiliates or subsidiaries of Tribune Company ("<u>Tribune Entities</u>"), and as creditors of Tribune and/or one or more of the Tribune Entities, holding claims of in excess of \$109 Million (the "<u>Plaintiffs</u>"), by and through their counsel, Judd Law Group and Teitelbaum & Baskin, LLP (subject

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

to admission *pro hac vice*), complaining of each of the defendants named herein and each of defendants fictitiously named DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive (each a "**Defendant**" and collectively, the "**Defendants**"), allege as follows:

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. In 2000, Times Mirror was merged into Tribune, with Tribune as the surviving entity. Tribune, among other things, assumed various obligations and commitments to the Plaintiffs, most of whom had given a lifetime of service to Times Mirror. In 2007, during the peak of the financial excesses, Tribune, pressured by its majority shareholders and lured by a scheme orchestrated by Sam Zell, abandoned its duties and obligations to its retirees, its employees and its creditors. Tribune incurred approximately \$11 Billion in debt to complete a leveraged buyout transaction (the "LBO Transaction"), which contemplated the use of approximately \$8 Billion of such borrowed funds to purchase, repurchase, redeem and/or cancel the publicly held common stock of Tribune and the Tribune Entities by and through a private Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the "**ESOP**") for no consideration to Tribune and the Tribune Entities. The LBO Transaction not only lined the pockets of certain Tribune's insiders and controlling shareholders with billions of dollars, it rendered Tribune and the Tribune Entities insolvent or with unreasonably small capital or with insufficient assets to pay its debts as they came due. The mountain of debt resulting from the LBO did not allow Tribune to adjust to the changing media business and drove this icon of the media world into Chapter 11 less than a year after the LBO Transaction closed. As a result,

(Continued...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tribune, the parent company, on December 8, 2008, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court") under the lead case *In re Tribune Company, et al.* (Case No. 08-13141-KJC)(jointly administered)(collectively, the "Bankruptcy Case"). In addition, the following affiliates or subsidiaries of Tribune filed bankruptcy petitions under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court (these affiliates and subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the "Tribune Entities"): 435 Production Company (8865); 5800 Sunset Productions Inc. (5510); Baltimore Newspaper Networks, Inc. (8258); California Community News Corporation (5306); Candle Holdings Corporation (5626); Channel 20, Inc. (7399); Channel 39, Inc. (5256); Channel 40, Inc. (3844); Chicago Avenue Construction Company (8634); Chicago River Production Company (5434); Chicago Tribune Company (3437); Chicago Tribune Newspapers, Inc. (0439); Chicago Tribune Press Service, Inc. (3167); Chicago Land Microwave

Plaintiffs were advised that their retirement benefits would be treated as general 1 unsecured claims of the now bankrupt Tribune; that their periodic retirement payments 2 would cease; and that their retirement nest egg upon which they were relying for their 3 4 "golden years" be may be worth a few pennies on the dollar. More than two and one half years into the Bankruptcy Case and after the expenditure of more than \$200 Million of 5 6 Tribune's assets on professional fees (more than double what all retirees of Tribune and 7 (...Continued) 8 Licensee, Inc. (1579); Chicago Land Publishing Company (3237); Chicago Land Television News, Inc. (1352); Courant Specialty Products, Inc. (9221); Direct Mail Associates, Inc. (6121); Distribution Systems of America, Inc. (3811); Eagle New Media Investments, LLC (6661); Eagle Publishing Investments, LLC (6327); forsalebyowner.com corp. (0219); ForSaleByOwner.com Referral Services. LLC (9205); Fortify Holdings Corporation (5628); Forum Publishing Group, Inc. (2940); Gold Coast Publications, Inc. (5505); Green Co, Inc. (7416); Heart & Crown Advertising, Inc. (9808); Homeowners Realty, Inc. (1507); Homestead Publishing Co. (4903); Hoy, LLC (8033); Hoy Publications, LLC (2352); Insert Co, Inc. (2663); Internet Foreclosure Service, Inc. (6550); Julius Air Company, LLC (9479); Julius Air Company II, LLC; KIAH Inc. (4014); KPLR, Inc. (7943); KSWB Inc. (7035); KTLA Inc. (3404); KWGN Inc. (5347); Los Angeles Times Communications LLC (1324); Los Angeles Times International, Ltd. (6079); Los Angeles Times Newspapers, Inc. (0416); Magic T Music Publishing Company (6522); NBBF, LLC (0893); Neocomm, Inc. (7208); New Mass. Media, Inc. (9553); Newscom Services, Inc. (4817); Newspaper Readers Agency, Inc. (7335); North Michigan 15 Production Company (5466); North Orange Avenue Properties, Inc. (4056); Oak Brook Productions, Inc. (2598); Orlando Sentinel Communications Company (3775); Patuxent Publishing Company 16 (4223); Publishers Forest Products Co. of Washington (4750); Sentinel Communications News Ventures, Inc. (2027); Shepard's Inc. (7931); Signs of Distinction, Inc. (3603); Southern Connecticut 17 Newspapers, Inc. (1455); Star Community Publishing Group, LLC (5612); Stemweb, Inc. (4276); Sun-Sentinel Company (2684); The Baltimore Sun Company (6880); The Daily Press, Inc. (9368); The Hartford Courant Company (3490); The Morning Call, Inc. (7560); The Other Company LLC (5337); Times Mirror Land and Timber Company (7088); Times Mirror Payroll Processing Company, Inc. 19 (4227); Times Mirror Services Company, Inc. (1326); TMLH 2, Inc. (0720); TMLS I, Inc. (0719); TMS Entertainment Guides, Inc. (6325); Tower Distribution Company (9066); Towering T Music 20 Publishing Company (2470); Tribune Broadcast Holdings, Inc. (4438); Tribune Broadcasting Company (2569); Tribune Broadcasting Holdco, LLC (2534); Tribune Broadcasting News Network, Inc., n/k/a Tribune Washington Bureau Inc. (1088); Tribune California Properties, Inc. (1629); Tribune CNLBC, LLC, f/k/a Chicago National League Ball Club, LLC (0347); Tribune Direct Marketing, Inc. 22 (1479); Tribune Entertainment Company (6232); Tribune Entertainment Production Company (5393); Tribune Finance, LLC (2537); Tribune Finance Service Center, Inc. (7844); Tribune License, Inc. 23 (1035); Tribune Los Angeles, Inc. (4522); Tribune Manhattan Newspaper Holdings, Inc. (7279); Tribune Media Net, Inc. (7847); Tribune Media Services, Inc. (1080); Tribune Network Holdings Company (9936); Tribune New York Newspaper Holdings, LLC (7278); Tribune NM, Inc. (9939); Tribune Publishing Company (9720); Tribune Television Company (1634); Tribune Television 25 Holdings, Inc. (1630); Tribune Television New Orleans, Inc. (4055); Tribune Television Northwest, Inc. (2975); ValuMail, Inc. (9512); Virginia Community Shoppers, LLC (4025); Virginia Gazette 26 Companies, LLC (9587); WATL, LLC (7384); WCCT, Inc., f/k/a WTXX Inc. (1268); WCWN LLC (5982); WDCW Broadcasting, Inc. (8300); WGN Continental Broadcasting Company (9530); WLVI 27 Inc. (8074); and WPIX, Inc. (0191).

2. As such, the Plaintiffs bring this action to recover at least \$109 Million pursuant to the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (the "<u>UFTA</u>") to recover fraudulent transfers made by Tribune and/or Tribune Entities to or for the benefit of the Defendants.

### STAY OF THIS ACTION

- 3. Neither Tribune nor the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the Bankruptcy Case (the "<u>Creditors' Committee</u>") sought to preserve the approximately \$8 Billion in state law constructive fraud claims against stockholders and others who received transfers from an insolvent Tribune as part of the LBO Transaction (the "<u>SLCF Claims</u>").
- 4. In or around May 4, 2011, the Creditors' Committee provided a notice to creditors of the Bankruptcy Case that the SLCF Claims, including the SLCF Claims asserted herein, would not be prosecuted by the Bankruptcy estate or a creditors' trust to be formed under any plan of reorganization in the Bankruptcy Case and that such claims could face a statute of limitations bar of June 4, 2011. The notice urged creditors who wished to preserve such claims to commence their actions prior to June 4, 2011. A copy of the Creditors' Committee Notice is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
- 5. As a result, on the eve of the expiration of the statute of limitations, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order dated April 25, 2011 (Docket No. 8740 in the Bankruptcy Case, the "SLCFC Lift Stay Order") (Exhibit A hereto), vacating the automatic stay to permit, among others, the Plaintiffs to commence this action to preserve the claims asserted herein.
- 6. Paragraph 6 the SLCFC Lift Stay Order, provides in pertinent part, however:

Absent further order of this Court, litigation commenced by the filing of any complaint referenced in paragraphs 3 and 5 above shall automatically be stayed in the applicable state court(s) where such complaint(s) are filed, or if not automatically in such state court(s), then application for the stay in accordance with the provisions of this Order shall be made . . . .

7. To the extent necessary, Plaintiffs will seek to extend the time for parties to respond to this complaint and seek a stay of these proceedings in compliance with the SLCFC Lift Stay Order.

## **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 8. 172 of the Plaintiffs are retirees of Times Mirror and creditors of Tribune in the aggregate amount of at least \$104 Million ("<u>TM Retirees</u>" or "<u>Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs</u>") pursuant to one or more non-tax qualified retirement, pension, deferred compensation, salary continuation, or supplemental payment or severance plans, each as more fully described below (each a "<u>Retiree Plan</u>").
- 9. Seventeen (17) of the Plaintiffs are retirees of one or more Tribune
  Entities and creditors of one or more Tribune Entities in the aggregate amount of at least
  \$5 Million ("Tribune Entity Retirees" or "Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor
  Plaintiffs") pursuant to one or more Retiree Plans.<sup>2</sup>
- 10. The Defendants received transfers of funds from Tribune and/or Tribune Entities in the amount of at least \$109 Million in 2007 for their common stock of Tribune at \$34 per share.
  - 11. The common stock for an insolvent Tribune had little or no value.
- 12. The Plaintiffs gave their lives to Times Mirror, Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities, and were relying upon their Retiree Plans in their so-called "golden years" for daily living expenses and for their retirement nest egg.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In total there are 188 Plaintiffs; two Plaintiffs are both Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs and Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs.

- 13. The Plaintiffs had the rug pulled out from under them on December 8, 2008 when Tribune and the Tribune Entities, burdened with over \$11 Billion of debt incurred as part of the LBO Transaction, commenced the Bankruptcy Case.
- 14. Immediately following the commencement of the Bankruptcy Case, the Plaintiffs were advised that the periodic payments under their Retiree Plans would be cut off and the value of their retirement portfolio would be worth pennies on the dollar. As detailed below, the Plaintiffs turned to the Bankruptcy Court and the Creditors' Committee to preserve their retirement funds; however, neither could save the Plaintiffs from what had been done.
- 15. The Retiree Plans were neither ERISA plans nor otherwise tax qualified plans and Tribune had not segregated funds to support the payment of the Retiree Plans.
- 16. As such, the Plaintiffs were general unsecured creditors of Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities at the time of the LBO Transaction and as of the commencement of the Bankruptcy Case.
- 17. Plaintiffs have been treated and classified as general unsecured creditors of Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 18. The LBO Transaction (i) rendered Tribune insolvent; (ii) left Tribune with unreasonably small assets or capital to operate; and/or (iii) left Tribune with debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.
- 19. The LBO Transaction (i) rendered the Tribune Entities insolvent; (ii) left the Tribune Entities with unreasonably small assets or capital to operate; and/or (iii) left the Tribune Entities with debts beyond their ability to pay as they became due.
- 20. The transfers to the Defendants were transfers of property of Tribune (i) made while Tribune was insolvent; (ii) which rendered Tribune insolvent; or (iii) which left Tribune with unreasonably small capital or assets to operate, including to pay debts as such debts became due.
- 21. The transfers to the Defendants were transfers of property of one or more Tribune Entities (i) made while the Tribune Entities were insolvent; (ii) which

rendered the Tribune Entities insolvent; or (iii) which left the Tribune Entities with 1 unreasonably small capital or assets to operate including to pay debts as such debts 2 became due. 3 22. The transfers to the Defendants were made for less than fair or 4 reasonable consideration to Tribune and/or the Tribune Entities. 5 6 7 <u>APPLICABL</u>E LAW 23. The Plaintiffs bring this action under the UFTA, as adopted in the State 8 of Illinois (S.H.A. 740 ILCS 160 et seq.) (the "UFTA"), in their capacity as creditors of Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities, to avoid and recover transfers of property 10 of Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities made to or for the benefit of the 11 Defendants (the "Fraudulent Conveyances" as more fully defined below) and to 12 Plaintiffs' detriment. 13 Tribune is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of 24. 14 15 Delaware. 25. Tribune's corporate headquarters are located at 435 North Michigan 16 Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611. Upon information and belief, substantially all of the decisions, 18 26. negotiations and approvals concerning the LBO Transaction occurred in Chicago, Illinois. 20 27. 21 Upon information and belief, all of the Fraudulent Conveyances were approved by Tribune in Illinois and originated in Illinois. 22 28. The UFTA as adopted in the State of Illinois is applicable based upon the nexus of the LBO Transaction, Tribune and the Fraudulent Conveyances to each jurisdiction. THE LBO TRANSACTION

23

24

25

26

27

- 30. In or around October 2006, Tribune retained Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. ("Morgan Stanley") to act as a financial advisor to the Special Committee and, upon information and belief, paid Morgan Stanley more than \$10 Million in fees and expenses.
- 31. Tribune thereafter engaged Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("Merrill", together with Merrill Lynch Capital Corporation, "Merrill Lynch") and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. ("CGMI") as financial advisors in connection with the LBO Transaction.
- 32. Merrill Lynch and CGMI also served as lead arrangers for the credit facilities that provided financing for the LBO Transaction.
- 33. By October 2006, seventeen potential outside purchasers had expressed interest in Tribune.
- 34. On April 1, 2007, following management's endorsement and the Special Committee's recommendation, the Board agreed to a proposal by Sam Zell whereby Tribune entered into a merger agreement that contemplated the purchase, repurchase, redemption and/or cancellation of 100% of the common stock of Tribune in two steps.
- 35. The purchase of such stock, the cancellation of existing indebtedness and the payment of millions of dollars in fees was financed with approximately \$11 Billion of debt made available to Tribune through the LBO Transaction.
- 36. In or around June 4, 2007, Tribune incurred approximately \$8 Billion in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Upon information and belief the majority shareholders included (x) The Chandler Trusts, which held over 48 Million shares of common stock of Tribune and (y) the McCormick Foundation Trust, which held over 28 Million shares of the outstanding common stock of Tribune.

debt to, among other things, purchase approximately 50% of the outstanding shares (126,000,000 shares) of Tribune common stock in a tender offer for \$34.00 per share ("Step One").

- 37. In or around the period commencing June 4, 2007, Tribune used at least \$4.3 Billion of the proceeds of the Step One indebtedness to make transfers to holders of Tribune common stock to purchase, repurchase, redeem, and/or cancel approximately 50% of Tribune common stock and to pay fees and expenses to professionals and advisors (the "Step One Transfers").
- 38. In or around December 7, 2007, Tribune incurred an additional \$3 Billion in debt to, among other things, purchase, repurchase, redeem and/or cancel its remaining outstanding shares of common stock for \$34.00 per share ("<u>Step Two</u>").
- 39. The Tribune Entities guaranteed the indebtedness incurred by Tribune in Step One and Step Two.
- 40. In or around the period commencing December 7, 2007, Tribune used the proceeds of the Step One and Step Two indebtedness and other assets of Tribune and/or Tribune Entities to make transfers to holders of Tribune common stock in the aggregate amount of approximately \$4 Billion to purchase, repurchase, redeem, and/or cancel the remaining approximately 50% of its common stock and to pay fees and expenses to professionals and advisors (the "Step Two Transfers" and together with the Step One Transfers, the "Fraudulent Conveyances").
- 41. The LBO Transaction was effected through a private S-corporation entity wholly owned by the ESOP so as to generate certain tax benefits. The tax and other benefits of the LBO Transaction could only be realized upon consummation of the repurchase of the common stock of Tribune as part of Step Two.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Dan Neil and Eric Bailey, former employees of Tribune, have commenced a class action under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), on their behalf and on behalf of approximately 11,000 ESOP participants who were former employees of Tribune Company against Samuel

- 43. Upon information and belief, by memorandum decision and order dated March 4, 2011, the Illinois District Court certified the class of plaintiffs in the ESOP Action.
- 44. Sam Zell, who was elected to Tribune's Board in or around May 2007 and became Chairman of the Board and President and Chief Executive Officer of Tribune in or around December 2007, upon information and belief, directly or indirectly received Fraudulent Conveyances of in excess of \$5 Million through the LBO Transaction at the expense of the creditors of Tribune and Tribune Entities.
- 45. Upon information and belief, the commitment letters that were executed in connection with Step One committed Merrill Lynch Capital Corporation ("MLCC"), CGMI and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (collectively, the "Lenders") to provide the requisite financing for both Step One and Step Two.
- 46. Upon information and belief, prior to the Board's approval of the LBO Transaction in April 2007, Tribune knew or should have known that financial projections used to support the LBO Transaction were unreliable and that a negative variance of a mere 2% would result in Tribune and/or the Tribune Entities being rendered insolvent upon incurring the LBO indebtedness.
- 47. Indeed, as of March 25, 2007, upon information and belief, management knew that both revenue and operating cash flow for the publishing business for the first quarter of 2007 were already at least 2% below the financial projections, and management knew that the industry trend was negative.

6 7

9

8

11

13

12

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

- 48. Tribune retained Valuation Research Corporation ("VRC") for a solvency opinion.
- 49. Neither Tribune nor VRC acted reasonably in connection with the issuance of any opinions issued by VRC.
- 50. Upon information and belief, VRC uncritically accepted financial projections from Tribune and based its opinions on outdated and unreliable financial projections finalized by management and approved by the Board in February 2007 (the "February Projections").
- 51. Upon information and belief, the February Projections were substantially higher than actual operating results. For example, actual publishing revenues for March 2007 were 4.3% below those in the February Projections. Similarly, actual publishing revenues for April and May were, respectively, 4.9% and 8.6% below the level necessary to sustain the debt level of the LBO Transaction. March 2007 actual broadcasting revenue was 3.0% below the February Projections. May 2007 broadcasting revenues were 6.4% below the February Projections. In the aggregate, for the three months March through May 2007, publishing revenues were \$55 Million below those in the February Projections, and broadcasting revenues were \$9 Million below the level necessary to service the debt incurred as part of the LBO Transaction.
- Upon information and belief, the financial projections were updated by 52. management and presented, in part, to the Board in October 2007 (the "October Projections").
- 53. Upon information and belief, despite the fact that the October Projections were downwardly revised for the short term, management, and its advisors, including VRC, ignored actual historical results and overall trends in the industry; as such, the October Projections reflected an unsustainable and unrealistic growth model, including (i) generating significant revenues for the internet based businesses despite underperforming 2007 projections by at least 4%; and (ii) outperforming February Projections on a consolidated basis, year after year, with a projected growth of 2.4% per

year beginning in 2013 and accelerating through 2017.

- 54. In all, VRC upwardly revised its valuation by approximately \$613 Million to support the LBO Transaction and was paid in excess of \$1.5 Million.
- By blindly accepting information and either intentionally or negligently 55. preparing its analysis, VRC failed to provide any reasonable value for the fees it received.
- 56. In September 2007, Tribune engaged Morgan Stanley to advise the Board and management concerning the LBO Transaction, including with respect to the issue of solvency.
  - 57. Morgan Stanley was paid at least \$10 Million.
- 58. Upon information and belief, Morgan Stanley either negligently or intentionally failed to advise Tribune that the application of reasonable negative assumptions to the financial projections would render Tribune and/or the Tribune Entities insolvent after giving effect to the LBO Transaction.
  - 59. Morgan Stanley failed to provide any reasonable value to Tribune.
- 60. On December 20, 2007, Chandler Bigelow<sup>4</sup> and Donald C. Grenesko<sup>5</sup> delivered the required solvency certificates on behalf of Tribune, thereby consummating the LBO Transaction.
- 61. As a result of the LBO Transaction and the Fraudulent Conveyances. Tribune (i) was rendered insolvent; (ii) was left with unreasonably small capital or

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Chandler Bigelow ("Bigelow") was Tribune's Treasurer at the time of the LBO Transaction, and currently is Tribune's Chief Financial Officer. Bigelow was also the Vice President and Treasurer of one or more of the Tribune Entities that guaranteed the obligations of Tribune. Upon information and belief, Bigelow received monetary special incentives in connection with consummation of the LBO Transaction and sold at least 7,183 shares of Tribune stock for at least \$244,000 in connection with the 24 LBO Transaction. Upon information and belief, Bigelow lives in Illinois.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Donald C. Grenesko ("Grenesko") was Tribune's Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration at the time of the LBO Transaction. Upon information and belief, Grenesko sold 242,357 shares of Tribune stock in connection with the LBO Transaction from which he received 26 approximately \$8,240,138 in cash proceeds. Upon information and belief, Grenesko also received additional monetary special incentives in connection with consummation of the LBO Transaction. Upon information and belief, Grenesko lives in Illinois.

assets to operate including to pay debts as such debts became due creditors; and/or (iii) incurred debts that Tribune Company knew or reasonably should have known were beyond its ability to repay.

- 62. As a result of the LBO Transaction and the Fraudulent Conveyances, the Tribune Entities (i) were rendered insolvent; (ii) were left with unreasonably small capital or assets to operate including to pay debts as such debts became due creditors; and/or (iii) incurred debts that the Tribune Entities knew or reasonably should have known were beyond their ability to repay.
- 63. As a result of the LBO Transaction and the Fraudulent Conveyances, the Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs sustained economic injury to the extent of their unpaid general unsecured claims arising from the Retiree Plans against Tribune.
- 64. As a result of the LBO Transaction and the Fraudulent Conveyances, the Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs sustained economic injury to the extent of their unpaid general unsecured claims arising from the Retiree Plans against one or more of the Tribune Entities.
- 65. Tribune did not receive reasonably equivalent value for the Fraudulent Conveyances to Defendants.
- 66. The Tribune Entities did not receive reasonably equivalent value for the guarantee of the obligations incurred as part of the LBO Transaction or for the Fraudulent Conveyances to Defendants.
- 67. Each of the Plaintiffs who are Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs were creditors of Tribune at the time of each of the Step One Transfer and the Step Two Transfer, the commencement of the Bankruptcy Case and the commencement of this case.
- 68. Each of the Plaintiffs who are Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs were creditors of one or more Tribune Entities at the time of each of the Step One Transfer and the Step Two Transfer, the commencement of the Bankruptcy Case and the commencement of this case.

## THE TRIBUNE COMPANY BANKRUPTCY CASES

- 69. As a direct result of the LBO Transaction and the Fraudulent Conveyances, Tribune and the Tribune Entities, among other things, (i) incurred over \$11 Billion in debt, (ii) transferred or caused to be transferred approximately \$8.3 Billion to purchase, repurchase, redeem and/or cancel common stock of Tribune, (iii) transferred approximately \$207 Million to professionals and lenders for fees, costs and expenses; (iv) transferred approximately \$907 Million in interest payments and \$978 Million in principal payments on account of the debt incurred; and (v) commenced the Bankruptcy Cases on December 8, 2008, less than a year after the Step Two Transfers.
- 70. Pursuant to an affidavit sworn to December 8, 2008 and filed with the Bankruptcy Court in the Bankruptcy Cases the ("Bigelow Affidavit"), Tribune Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Chandler Bigelow stated that prior to the LBO Tribune and the Tribune Entities had approximately \$3 Billion of indebtedness; but following the LBO Transaction, Tribune had approximately \$13 Billion of debt and approximately \$7.6 Billion in assets. (Bigelow Affidavit at ¶11).
- 71. Further, pursuant to the Bigelow Affidavit, in 2007 (i) the Tribune Entities had revenues of approximately \$5.1 Billion, approximately 72% of which was from publishing and approximately 28% of which was from broadcasting and entertainment; (ii) publishing revenues had declined by approximately 9% and broadcasting and entertainment revenues had declined by approximately 2% due to decreased circulation and advertizing. (Bigelow Affidavit at ¶11)
- 72. Bigelow concluded that the Tribune Entities "faced increasing constraints on their liquidity, including their ability to service approximately \$13 Billion in indebtedness. . . ." (Bigelow Affidavit at ¶12).
- 73. Thus, the LBO Transaction rendered Tribune and the Tribune Entities insolvent or with unreasonably small capital or assets to operate including to pay debts as such debts became due to creditors.
  - 74. As a result of the LBO Transaction and the Bankruptcy Case, the

Plaintiffs were advised that payments due to them arising from or in connection with the Retiree Plans would be treated as general unsecured claims in the Bankruptcy Cases.

- 75. Consequently, the periodic benefit payments to Plaintiffs under any such Retiree Plans were immediately discontinued and all accrued and unpaid amounts due in connection with such Retiree Plans would be paid to the Plaintiffs only pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code and the terms of a confirmed plan of reorganization.
- 76. The Plaintiffs had relied upon the payment of their retirement funds as a substantial part of their income and for their retirement savings.
- 77. Plaintiffs William A. Niese, James R. Simpson, Jr., W. Thomas Johnson, Jr., Richard T. Schlosberg, III and Efrem Zimblist, III, immediately formed a steering committee to protect the rights of Tribune Retiree Creditors and Tribune Entity Retiree Creditors (the "Steering Committee") in the Bankruptcy Cases.
- 78. In December 2008, the Steering Committee engaged Teitelbaum & Baskin, LLP ("<u>T&B</u>") to represent the interests of the Steering Committee, and such other Tribune Retiree Creditors and Tribune Entity Retiree Creditors who may join them, in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 79. Ultimately, approximately 194 Tribune Retiree Creditors and Tribune Entity Retiree Creditors joined the Steering Committee and engaged T&B to represent their interests in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 80. Plaintiff William A. Niese was appointed to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "<u>Creditors' Committee</u>") as the representative of all retiree interests in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 81. Since December 2008, Niese and T&B have actively participated in the Bankruptcy Case on behalf of retirees of Tribune, Tribune Entities and Times Mirror and in furtherance of the interests of general unsecured creditors of Tribune and Tribune Entities.
- 82. The Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs represent over \$104 Million of the approximately \$113 Million in similar claims asserted against Tribune in the

grouped as a general unsecured claim in Classes 2E through 111E, and each such claim

(ii) each Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiff claim against a Tribune Entity is

26

- 91. Under the Noteholder Plan (i) each Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiff claim against Tribune is grouped as a general unsecured claim in Class 1G and each such claim is treated identically; and (ii) each Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiff claim against a Tribune Entity is grouped as a general unsecured claim in Classes 50G through 111G, and each such claim is treated identically.
- 92. The Plaintiffs and retirees in general have overwhelmingly voted in favor of the DCL Plan and against the Noteholder Plan.
- 93. Contested confirmation proceedings have been conducted before the Bankruptcy Court with respect to both the DCL Plan and the Noteholder Plan.
- 94. Upon information and belief, in or around mid June 2011, the proponents of each of the DCL Plan and the Noteholder Plan will have made their final submissions to the Bankruptcy Court.
- 95. It is uncertain if or when either the DCL Plan or the Noteholder Plan will be confirmed or become effective such that distributions will be made to creditors of Tribune and Tribune Entities, including the Plaintiffs.
- 96. Assuming the DCL Plan is confirmed and becomes effective (i) Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs who are classified as Class 1F general unsecured creditors of Tribune, would receive initial distributions of between 32% and 35% of their allowed claims and certain such Plaintiffs (depending upon elections they make) could receive additional distributions on account of litigation recoveries in connection with an action commenced by the Creditors' Committee against various parties who participated in and/or received benefits from the LBO Transaction (the "Committee Action"); and (ii) the Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs who are classified as Class 2E through 111E general unsecured creditors of Tribune Entities could receive a distribution of 100% of their allowed claims.
- 97. Assuming the Noteholder Plan is confirmed and becomes effective (i) Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs who are classified as Class 1G general unsecured

- 98. On or about November 1, 2010, the Creditors' Committee commenced the Committee Action as an adversary proceeding captioned *The Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors Of Tribune Company, on behalf of Tribune Company, et al.*, vs. Dennis J. Fitzsimons, et al. (Case No. 08-13141) (Adv. Pro. No. 10-54010) (Docket No. 1). Many of the allegations made herein "upon information and belief" are based upon the detailed allegations set forth in the Creditors' Committee first amended complaint dated December 7, 2010 (Docket No. 61) (the "Committee Action Complaint").
- 99. In addition, certain allegations made herein "upon information and belief" are based upon the report, dated July 26, 2010, filed by Kenneth Klee as the court appointed examiner in the Bankruptcy Case (the "Examiner's Report" available on the Bankruptcy Court's Docket at Nos. 5130 through 5134).
- 100. Under both the DCL Plan and the Noteholder Plan, the prosecution of state law constructive fraud claims, such as those asserted herein, are left to the creditors holding such claims.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 101. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are all similarly situated transferees of the Fraudulent Conveyances, either in their capacity as common stockholders, clearing houses for ultimate transferees, professionals or advisors.
- 102. Upon information and belief, the Defendants reside in this jurisdiction, have an office in this jurisdiction, or are otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Venue is proper based upon the residence, domicile or place of business of some or all of the Defendants.

1 THE PLAINTIFFS 103. 2 172 Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs hold claims against Tribune in the aggregate amount of at least \$104 Million based upon one or more of the Retiree Plans. 4 104. Seventeen (17) Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs hold claims 5 against one or more Tribune Entities in the aggregate amount of at least \$5 Million 6 7 based upon one or more of the Retiree Plans. 105. The Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs seek to avoid transfers made by 8 Tribune to or for the benefit of the Defendants and to recover such funds on account of 10 the their claims against Tribune pursuant to the UFTA as adopted in Illinois. 106. 11 The Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs seek to avoid transfers made by Tribune Entities to or for the benefit of the Defendants and to recover such funds on account of the their claims against the Tribune Entities pursuant to the UFTA 13 as adopted in Illinois. 107. The Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs: 15 (a) are retirees of Times Mirror and/or Tribune; 16 17 (b) at the time of the Step One and Step Two Transfers had claims against Tribune based upon one or more of the following Retiree Plans: 18 19 • Times Mirror Excess Pension Plan (the "Excess Plan") which covered employees of Times Mirror whose benefits 20 under Times Mirror's qualified pension plan were capped by IRS regulation: 21 Times Mirror Supplemental Retirement Plan (the "SERP") 22 which covered a limited number of senior executives of Times Mirror and provided benefits based upon salary plus bonus and a subsidized survivor annuity; 23 24 Times Mirror Deferred Compensation Plans which permitted eligible employees or directors of Times Mirror to defer some of their annual compensation, some, or all, of their 25 annual bonus, and in the case of directors, some or all of their 26 annual retainer, and select a payment start date and payment period; the deferred amounts were to accrue interest at 9% per annum; and Tribune Supplemental 401(k) Plan (together with 27 the Times Mirror Deferred Compensation Plans, the "DC-Plans") which permitted eligible employees of Tribune to 28

- (c) **W. Thomas Johnson, Jr.:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Georgia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$2,091,151.24.
- (d) **Richard T. Schlosberg, III:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Texas; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$2,760,068.72.
- (e) **Efrem Zimbalist, III:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$2,206,657.73.
- (f) **Fred A. Abatemarco:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$105,156.30.
- (g) **Gerald J. Alcantar:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$11,811.29.
- (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$56,537.94.
- (i) **C. Michael Armstrong:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$195,890.45.
  - (j) Gary M. Arnold: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Illinois; (ii) or

- (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$55,918.19.
- (z) **Patricia G. Campbell:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$102,312.38.
- (aa) **Dian S. Carpenter:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$703,514.32.
- (bb) **John S. Carroll:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Kentucky; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$1,523,628.61.
- (cc) **Kathleen M. Casey:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Texas; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$111,836.75.
- (dd) **Rajender K. Chandhok:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$49,874.04.
- (ee) **Randolph R. Charles:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$53,190.66.
- (ff) Janet T. Clayton: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to

\$329,826.52. 1 2 (xxx) Leslie M. Howard: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right 3 to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 4 \$145,496.90. 5 (yyy) Mark E. Howe: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New 6 York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 7 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 8 \$187,208.77. 9 (zzz) **Joseph M. Hughes:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 10 Arizona; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 11 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 12 \$188,913.12. 13 (aaaa) Alberto Ibarguen: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 14 Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 15 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 16 \$59,577.00. 17 18 (bbbb) James Imbriaco: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New Jersey; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 19 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 20 \$63,028.95. 21 (cccc) Steven L. Isenberg: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 22 New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right 23 to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$577,618.26. 25 26 (dddd) William R. Isinger: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 27 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than

\$298,855.71. 1 2 (eeee) Raymond A. Jansen, Jr.: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 3 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 4 \$6,439,394.24. 5 Edward E. Johnson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 6 California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 7 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 8 \$1,183,611.96. 9 (gggg) Robert M. Johnson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 10 Michigan; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 11 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 12 13 \$314,859.81. (hhhh) Mary E. Junck: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Iowa; 14 (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this 15 action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$687,471.28. 16 Scott W. Kabak: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New 17 York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 19 \$135,856.56. 20 Judith S. Kallet: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of 21 (iiii) Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 22 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 23 \$86,874.62. 24 25 (kkkk) William F. Keller: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Illinois; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to 26 bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than 27 \$43,819.77. 28

1	(llll) Joan Kellermann (for the estate of Donald S. Kellermann): (i) is
2	a citizen and/or resident of Washington DC; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of
3	the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in
4	the amount of not less than \$202,942.77.
5	(mmmm) Victoria King: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
6	New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
7	to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
8	\$74,235.11.
9	(nnnn) Jason E. Klein: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New
10	York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
11	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
12	\$113,350.42.
13	(0000) <b>Jeffrey S. Klein:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
14	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
15	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
16	\$214,771.81.
17	(pppp) Susan K. Klutnick: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
18	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
19	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
20	\$381,723.02.
21	(qqqq) James L. Kopper: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
22	Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
23	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
24	\$353,362.22.
25	(rrrr) Sally Kuekes: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Arizona;
26	(ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this
27	action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$49,664.99.
28	(ssss) Mark H. Kurtich: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
\$90,448.02.
(tttt) Kimberly McCleary LaFrance: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of
the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
than \$44,006.64.
(uuuu) Jeffrey W. Lankey: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
\$8,600.23.
(vvvv) David A. Laventhol: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
\$3,598,937.76.
(www) R. Marilyn Lee Schneider: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of
the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
than \$63,947.25.
(xxxx) <b>Martin P. Levin:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New
York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
\$103,195.50.
(yyyy) Jesse E. Levine: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
\$49,524.89.
(zzzz) Nancy Lobdell: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$115,583.28.
4	(aaaaa) Robert G. Magnuson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
6	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$230,074.32.
8	(bbbbb) Anthony J. Marro: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
9	of Vermont; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
10	to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$324,038.35.
12	(ccccc) <b>Donald S Maxwell:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
13	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
14	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$375,385.65.
16	(ddddd) Kathleen G. McGuinness: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of
17	the State of Iowa; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
18	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$1,800,192.32.
20	(eeeee) John C. McKeon: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
21	Texas; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
22	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$91,979.56.
24	(fffff) Jack E. Meadows: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
25	Arkansas; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
26	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$108,100.07.
28	(ggggg) Stephen C. Meier: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$232,480.68.
4	(hhhhh) Janie Molvar: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	Oregon; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
6	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$843,787.40.
8	(iiiii) Roger H. Molvar: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
9	Washington; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
10	to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$560,764.12.
12	(jjjjj) <b>Durham J. Monsma:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
13	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
14	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$107,492.11.
16	(kkkkk) <b>John T. Nash:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
17	Hawaii; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
18	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$373,594.11.
20	(IIIII) Nicholas H. Niles: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
21	Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
22	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$43,691.01.
24	(mmmmm) James H. Norris: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
25	of New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
26	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$244,398.59.
28	(nnnnn) <b>James H. Nuckols:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
1	Case No. 11-CV-7577-PSG (PLAx) SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$159,526.04.
4	(00000) Nancy W. O'Neill: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
5	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
6	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$473,600.44.
8	(ppppp) Robert T. O'Sullivan: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
9	State of New Jersey; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
10	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
11	than \$317,971.62.
12	(qqqqq) Francis P. Pandolfi: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
13	State of Connecticut; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
14	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
15	than \$172,194.00.
16	(mm) Michael C. Parks: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
17	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
18	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$1,963,183.90.
20	(sssss) <b>Jeffrey N. Paro:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New
21	Jersey; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
22	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$57,050.82.
24	(ttttt) John F. Patinella: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
25	Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
26	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$150,001.24.
28	(uuuuu) Janette O. Payne: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New

Case No. 11-CV-7577-PSG (PLAx) SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$35,393.07.
4	(vvvvv) Carol Perruso: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
6	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$93,718.73.
8	(wwwww) Victor A. Perry: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
9	Georgia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
10	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$32,377.21.
12	(xxxxx) Maureen G. Peterson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
13	State of Connecticut; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
14	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
15	than \$594,751.05.
16	(yyyyy) Martha A. Petty: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
17	of Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
18	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$149,885.51.
20	(zzzzz) Jack L. Plank: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
21	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
22	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$316,088.85.
24	(aaaaaa) Elizabeth F. Redmond: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
25	State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
26	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
27	than \$838,434.21.
28	(bbbbbb) S. Keating Rhoads: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$98,744.57.
4	(ccccc) Michael R. Riley: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
5	of Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
6	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$123,950.91.
8	(dddddd) Michael G. Rose: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
9	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
10	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
1,1	\$352,557.60.
12	(eeeeee) William J. Rowe: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
13	of Florida; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
14	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$308,021.09.
16	(ffffff) <b>Jerome S. Rubin:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of New
17	York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
18	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$151,876.68.
20	(gggggg) Alexander Sann: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
21	of New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
22	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$1,456,012.18.
24	(hhhhhh) Geraldine Scally: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
25	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
26	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$28,433.38.
28	(iiiiii) <b>Herbert K. Schnall:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$601,401.39.
4	(jjjjjj) Charles I. Schneider: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
6	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$300,413.12.
8	(kkkkkk) Hilary A. Schneider: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
9	State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
10	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
11	than \$116,920.23.
12	(IllIII) Howard S. Schneider: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
13	New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
14	to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$111,725.93.
16	(mmmmmm) Brian J. Sellstrom: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
17	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
18	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$59,424.51.
20	(nnnnnn) James D. Shaw: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
21	Virginia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
22	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$188,663.28.
24	(000000) Dennis A. Shirley: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
25	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
26	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$1,374,704.51.
28	(pppppp) Gary K. Shorts: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
2	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$169,089.46.
4	(qqqqqq) Louis Sito: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	South Carolina; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
6	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$100,409.32.
8	(rrrrrr) Richard W. Stanton: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
9	Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
10	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$58,991.00.
12	(ssssss) Judith L. Sweeney: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
13	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
14	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$37,827.72.
16	(tttttt) Stender E. Sweeney: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
17	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
18	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$92,525.62.
20	(uuuuuu) James S. Toedtman: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
21	State of Virginia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
22	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$7,503.51.
24	(vvvvvv) Sharon S. Tunstall: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
25	State of Michigan; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
26	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$8,684.60.
28	(wwwww) Michael S. Udovic: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
	-45-

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$6,875.50.
4	(xxxxxx) Michael J. Valenti: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
5	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
6	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$1,228,403.25.
8	(уууууу) Karen J. Wada: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
9	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
10	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$277,091.87.
12	(zzzzzz) Claudia A. Wade: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
13	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
14	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$25,214.57.
16	(aaaaaaaa) James W. Wallace: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
17	of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
18	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$319,337.67.
20	(bbbbbbb) Michael E. Waller: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
21	of South Carolina; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
22	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$1,856,802.57.
24	(cccccc) Larry W. Wangberg: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
25	State of Idaho; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
26	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$403,201.80.
28	(ddddddd) <b>Howard Weinstein:</b> (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	Maryland; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	
4	(eeeeeee) William D. Wiegand: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
5	State of Washington; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
6	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
7	than \$348,721.05.
8	(fffffff)Mary A. Wild: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
9	Colorado; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
10	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
11	\$36,816.87.
12	(ggggggg) Mark H. Willes: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
13	Utah; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
14	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$19,534,351.47.
16	(hhhhhhh) Phillip L. Williams: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the
17	State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the
18	legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less
19	than \$572,842.71.
20	(iiiiiii) Hazel E. Wilson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
21	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
22	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
23	\$26,114.05.
24	(jjjjjjj) Julia C. Wilson: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
25	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
26	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
27	\$219,353.70.
28	(kkkkkkk) Harold F. Woldt, Jr.: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of

1	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
2	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
3	\$35,533.16.
4	(IllIll) Leo Wolinsky: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
5	California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to
6	bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
7	\$606,750.39.
8	(mmmmmmm) Donald F. Wright: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of
9	the State of New Mexico; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has
10	the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not
11	less than \$2,713,574.92.
12	(nnnnnn) John W. Young: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of
13	New York; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right
14	to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
15	\$73,452.93.
16	(0000000) John J. Zakarian: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State
17	of Connecticut; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal
18	right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
19	\$295,629.56.
20	(ppppppp) Norene Zapanta (trustee for Dr. Edward Zapanta Trust):
21	(i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized
22	representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim
23	and damages in the amount of not less than \$11,482.47.
24	Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs
25	112. Each of the Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs identified herein
26	are creditors of one or more Tribune Entities and were creditors of one or more Tribune
27	Entities as of the Step One and Step Two Transfers and the commencement of the
28	Bankruptcy Case:
	-48-

- (a) **Sharon M. Bowen:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Maryland; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
- (b) **Janet T. Clayton:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
- (c) James Willard Colston: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Maine; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
- (d) Grace E. Crowder: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Pennsylvania; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
- (e) **John Darnall:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California: (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$12,067.23.
- (f) **Kenneth G. Davis:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Maryland; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than
- (g) Mary M. Downes: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of South Carolina; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$107,609.85.
  - (h) Paul H. Egan: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Oregon; (ii) or

the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$23,494.71.

- (i) **Arnold J. Kleiner:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$2,428,807.91.
- (i) John C. McKeon: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Texas; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$4,949.00.
- (k) **John R. Murphy:** (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Georgia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$1,259,218.77.
- (I) Barbara Sample (for the estate of Jack W. Neely): (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of Virginia; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$8,957.24.
- (m) Carolyn Selzer: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$8,834.93.
- (n) William F. Thomas: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$395,688.06.
- (o) Caroline Thorpe: (i) is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California; (ii) or the duly authorized representative of the Plaintiff, has the legal right to bring this action and asserts a claim and damages in the amount of not less than \$60,000.00.

6 7

9

8

11

12

10

13

14

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

26

28

- 114. Upon information and belief, either one or more of the Chandler Trusts received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$1,632,000,000.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) one or more of the Chandler Trusts, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit one or more of the Chandler Trusts held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- 115. Upon Upon information and belief, Defendant American Enterprise Investment Services Inc. is a Minnesota Corporation, is authorized to do business in the State of California, and has designated CT Corporation System, having an address of 818 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, as its agent for service of process.
- Upon information and belief, either Defendant American Enterprise 116. Investment Services Inc., received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$4,342,174.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) Defendant American Enterprise Investment Services Inc., and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit Defendant American Enterprise Investment Services Inc. held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Reliance Trust Company is a 117. Georgia Trust Company, is authorized to do business in the State of California, and has designated CT Corporation System, having an address of 818 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, as its agent for service of process.
- 118. Upon information and belief, Defendant Reliance Trust Company, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$6,015,892.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) Reliance Trust Company, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit Reliance Trust Company held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
  - Upon information and belief, Defendant The Bank of Nova Scotia is a 119.

bank formed under the laws of Canada, which conducts business in the State of California, and has listed with the California Secretary of State, Maarty Van Otterloo, with an address of 580 California Street, Suite 2100, San Francisco, California 94104, as its agent for service of process.

- 120. Upon information and belief, Defendant The Bank of Nova Scotia, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$1,973,428.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) The Bank of Nova Scotia, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit The Bank of Nova Scotia held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- 121. Upon information and belief, **Defendant Union Bank of California**, **N.A.** is a national association chartered under the laws of the United States, and conducts business in Los Angeles, California.
- 122. Upon information and belief, Defendant Union Bank of California, N.A., received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$5,296,758.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) Union Bank of California, N.A., and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit Union Bank of California, N.A. held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- 123. Upon information and belief, **Defendant T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.** is a Maryland Corporation, conducts business in the State of California and has an executive office located at 333 Bush Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, California 94104.
- 124. Upon information and belief, Defendant T. Rowe Price Group, Inc., received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$213,868,432.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) T. Rowe Price Group, Inc., and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
  - 125. Upon information and belief, **Defendant Vanguard Group, Inc.** is a

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Upon information and belief, Defendant Vanguard Financial Group, Inc. is a California Corporation, and has designated Spiegel & Utrera, P.C., having an address of 4727 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 601, Los Angeles, California 90010.
- 129. Upon information and belief, either one or more of Defendants The Vanguard Group, Inc., The Vanguard Group Inc., Vanguard Financial Group, Inc. and/or Vanguard Group, Inc. (collectively, the "Vanguard Entities"), received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$97,679,824.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) one or more of the Vanguard Entities, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit one or more of the Vanguard Entities held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- 130. Upon information and belief, **Defendant Wedbush Securities**, Inc. is a California Corporation, and has designated Shawn T. Keagy, having an address of 1000 Wilshire Blvd., 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017, as its agent for service of process.
  - 131. Upon information and belief, Defendant Wedbush Securities, Inc.,

Upon information and belief, **Defendant Roger Goodan** is an

28

137.

individual, who is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California.

- 138. Upon information and belief, Defendant Roger Goodan, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$446,250.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) Roger Goodan, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit Roger Goodan held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.
- 139. Upon information and belief, **Defendant William Stinehart Jr.** is an individual, who is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California.
- 140. Upon information and belief, Defendant William Stinehart Jr., received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$430,100.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) William Stinehart Jr., and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit William Stinehart Jr. held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests
- 141. Upon information and belief, **Defendant Scott Smith** is an individual, who is a citizen and/or resident of the State of California, having an address of 8345 E County Drive, El Cajon, California 92021.
- 142. Upon information and belief, Defendant Scott Smith, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers from Tribune in the amount of at least \$7,659,214.00 as payment for the common stock interests in Tribune owned by either (i) Scott Smith, and/or (ii) other unknown persons or entities for whose benefit Scott Smith held, transferred or exchanged such stock interests.

#### **Confidential Stock Transferee Defendants:**

- 143. Pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court, dated May 19, 2011 (the "Protective Order") (annexed as Exhibit B hereto), on or about May 25, 2011 Plaintiffs were provided with information concerning certain defendants pursuant to an order of confidentiality which, subject to further order of an appropriate court, prevents the disclosure of information concerning the timing or amount of transfers to defendants.
  - 144. As such, Plaintiffs have identified on Exhibit C hereto, the names

and addresses of named defendants as produced by the Creditors' Committee who, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers as Fraudulent Conveyances, but who are subject to the terms of the Protective Order and or whose transfer information was not made available to Plaintiffs (the "Confidential Stock Transferee Defendants").

145. Plaintiffs seek to avoid and recover the Fraudulent Conveyances made to the Confidential Stock Transferee Defendants in amounts to be determined.

#### **DOE Stock Transferee Defendants**

146. The basis for suing herein defendants under the fictitious names DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, is that Plaintiffs have not been afforded ample time or discovery to ascertain the exact identity of all transferees and, upon information and belief, various clearing or other brokerage houses may have held Tribune stock for or transferred proceeds from the Step One and/or Step Two Transfers to ultimate beneficiaries. Plaintiffs, upon information and belief, have identified the transferees of the Step One and Step Two Transfers; however, to the extent that such defendants claim to be initial or pass-through transferees, upon ascertaining the true identity of DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint.

#### **COUNT ONE**

## Avoidance and Recovery of Constructive Fraudulent Conveyances Against Defendant Stock Transferees By Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs

- 147. Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1-146 as though fully set forth herein.
- 148. Each Defendant identified above as an Entity Stock Transferee Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
  - 149. Each Defendant identified above as an Individual Stock Transferee

Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.

- 150. Each Defendant identified above as a Confidential Stock Transferee Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
- 151. Each Defendant fictitiously named DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such DOE Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
- 152. Tribune received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the foregoing Step One and/or Step Two Transfers.
- Stock Transferee Defendant, Individual Stock Transferee Defendant, Confidential Stock Transferee and John Doe Stock Transferee Defendant, Tribune (i) was insolvent or became insolvent as a result of the LBO Transaction and/or the Step One and Step Two Transfers; (ii) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for which Tribune was left with unreasonably small assets or capital; and/or (iii) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 154. Accordingly, pursuant to the UFTA adopted in the State of Illinois (S.H.A. 740 ILCS 160 *et seq.*), the Step One and/or Step Two Transfers to or for the benefit of each Entity Stock Transferee Defendant, Individual Stock Transferee

Defendant, Confidential Stock Transferee Defendant and DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, should be avoided and such avoided transfers should be turned over to the Tribune Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs in the amount received by each Defendant set forth above.

#### **COUNT TWO**

# Avoidance and Recovery of Constructive Fraudulent Conveyances Against Defendant Stock Transferees By Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs

- 155. Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1-146 as though fully set forth herein.
- 156. Each Defendant identified above as an Entity Stock Transferee Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
- 157. Each Defendant identified above as an Individual Stock Transferee Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
- 158. Each Defendant identified above as a Confidential Stock Transferee Defendant, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock interests in Tribune.
- 159. Each Defendant fictitiously named DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, upon information and belief, received Step One and/or Step Two Transfers in connection with the LBO Transaction in the amount of at least \$34 per share in the aggregate amounts set forth above as payment for each such Defendant's common stock

debts became due.

1

160. Tribune received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the foregoing Step One and/or Step Two Transfers.

161. At the time of the Step One and/or Step Two Transfers to each

4 5

Entity Stock Transferee Defendant, Individual Stock Transferee Defendant,

6

Confidential Stock Transferee Defendant and DOES 1 through 2000, inclusive, Tribune (i) was insolvent or became insolvent as a result of the LBO Transaction and/or the Step

7

One and Step Two Transfers; (ii) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about

8

to engage in business or a transaction, for which Tribune was left with unreasonably

10

small assets or capital; and/or (iii) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should

11

have believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such

12

162. Accordingly, pursuant to the UFTA adopted in the State of Illinois

13 14

(S.H.A. 740 ILCS 160 et seq.), the Step One and/or Step Two Transfers to or for the

15

benefit of the each Entity Stock Transferee Defendant, Individual Stock Transferee

16

Defendant, Confidential Stock Transferee Defendant and DOES 1 through 2000,

17

Iribune Entity Retires Creditor Plaintiffs in the amount received by each Defendant and

18 19 Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor Plaintiffs in the amount received by each Defendant set forth above.

20

#### RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

21 22 163. Plaintiffs reserve the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law or by agreement, to assert any claims relating to the subject matter of this action or otherwise relating to Tribune and/or one or more Tribune Entities against any third

24

25

party.

23

164. Plaintiffs reserve the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law or by agreement to supplement or amend the list of Confidential Defendants and to further specify the amounts and timing of the transfers alleged.

26 27

28

165. Plaintiffs reserve the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law

1	(k) imposing a constructive trust on assets of the Defendants, and each		
2	of them, to the extent of avoid transfers in favor of the Tribune Retiree Creditor		
3	Plaintiffs in the amount of the avoided transfers;		
4	(l) imposing a constructive trust on assets of the Defendants, and each		
5	of them, to the extent of avoid transfers in favor of the Tribune Entity Retiree Creditor		
6	Plaintiffs in the amount of the avoided transfers;		
7	(m) awarding pre-and post-judgment interest at the legal rate on all		
8	avoided transfers; and		
9	(n) awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and		
10	proper.		
11			
12	Dated: December 19, 2011 Judd Law Group		
13	- 1 1 Ql		
14	By: They they		
15	Teffrey M. Judd		
16	Teitelbaum & Baskin, LLP		
17	Jay Teitelbaum (admitted pro hac vice)		
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

# **EXHIBIT** A

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re

Chapter 11

TRIBUNE COMPANY, et al., 1

Case No. 08-13141 (KJC)

Debtors.

(Jointly Administered)

## NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF (I) ENTRY OF COURT ORDER AND (II) IMPENDING EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

TO: All Creditors of Tribune Company, et al.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 1, 2011, the Original Plaintiff Group<sup>2</sup> filed a motion seeking, among other things, a determination that (i) the right to pursue state law constructive fraudulent conveyance claims against Step One and/or Step Two Shareholders

The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are; Tribune Company (9355); 435 Production Company (8565); 5800 Sensat Production Inc. (3510); Baltimore Newspaper Networks, Inc. (8258); Collifornia Community News Corporation (5386); Candle Heldings Corporation (3636); Channel 20, Inc. (7399); Channel 39, Inc. (5256); Channel 40, Inc. (3844); Chicago Avenue Construction Gompany (3637); Chicago River Production Company (5434); Chicago Tribune Company (3437); Chicago Tribune Newspapers, Inc. (0439); Chicago Tribune Press Service, Inc. (3167); Chicago Lond Microwave Licensee, Inc. (1579); Chicago Independent Publishing Company (3237); Chicagoland Television News, Inc. (1525); Courant Specially Products, Inc. (5221); Direct Mail Associates, Inc. (5121); Distribution Systems of America, Inc. (1811); Engle New Medicia Investments, I.L.C (2603); Forlify Heldings Corporation (5628); Forum Pablishing Group, Inc. (2940); Gold Courst Publications, Inc. (5105); GreenCe, Inc. (7416); Heart & Crown Advertising, Inc. (3603); Homeowners Realty, Inc. (1579); Homesterd Publishing Co. (4903); Hay, I.L.C (3033); Hop Fablications, I.L.C (27152); InsertCo., Inc. (3603); Homeowners Realty, Inc. (1579); Homesterd Publishing Company, I.L.C (3033); Hop Fablications, I.L.C (1572); InsertCo., Inc. (3603); Holdings, I.L.C (3603); KILA Inc. (3404); KWIKI Nac. (3417); Lee Angeles Times Communications, I.C. (1772); InsertCo., Inc. (3404); KWIKI Nac. (3417); Lee Angeles Times Communications Company (3503); North Orange Avenue Properties, Inc. (4956); Dak Brook Productions, Inc. (2598); Orlando Sentinel Communications Company (3715); Fabrant Publishing Company (4721); Publisher Grove Troduction Company (3715); Pabrant Publishing Company (4721); Datishers Grove Troduction Company (3715); Pabrant Publishing Company (4721); Publisher Grove Troduction Company (3716); Orlando Sentinel Communications Company (3716); Fabrant Production Inc. (3416); Inc. (3416); Inc. (3416); Inc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning escribed to them in the Reversion Order.

(collectively, the "<u>Creditor SLCFC Claims</u>") now resides with eligible individual creditors of the Debtors and (ii) neither the automatic stay imposed by section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code nor the Mediation Order<sup>3</sup> precludes any creditor of the Debtors from asserting such Creditor SLCFC Claims (the "<u>Reversion Motion</u>").<sup>4</sup> On April 25, 2011, the Court entered an order (the "<u>Reversion Order</u>"), <sup>5</sup> a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A," granting the Reversion Motion to the extent provided therein.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, as set forth in the Reversion Motion, parties should operate under the assumption that the applicable state statute of limitations for eligible individual creditors to pursue the Creditor SLCFC Claims may expire as early as June 4, 2011. Creditors who wish to preserve or pursue such Creditor SLCFC Claims should seek out independent legal advice regarding preservation of their claims and compliance with the Reversion Order. Each creditor should make an individualized determination of when the statute of limitations will expire under applicable state law based on the advice of independent counsel and should not rely on any statements contained herein.

<sup>3</sup> See Order Appointing Mediator [D.I. 5591].

<sup>\*</sup> See Motion of Aurelius Capital Management, LP, on Behalf of its Managed Entities, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, in its Capacity as Successor Indenture Trustee for Certain Series of Senior Notes, and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York in its Capacity as Successor Indenture Trustee for Certain Series of Senior Notes, for Entry of and Order (I) Determining that Creditors Have Retained Their State Law Constructive Fraudulent Conveyance Claims to Recover Stock Redemption Payments Made to Step One Shereholders and Step Two Shareholders Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 545(a); (II) Determining that Antomatic Stay Does Not Ber Commencement of Litigation on Account of Such Claims Against Such Shareholders or, in the Alternative, Granting Relief From Automatic Stay to Permit Commencement of Such Litigation; and (III) Granting Leave from Mediation Order to Permit Commencement of Such Litigation [D.I. 8201].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Order Granting (I) Relief From the Automatic Stay to the Extent the Automatic Stay Bars Commencement by Creditors of State Law Constructive Fraudulent Conveyance Claims to Recover Stock Redemption Payments Made to Step One Shareholder and Step Two Shareholders and (II) Leave from the Mediation Order to Permit Commencement of Litigation on Account of Such Claims [D.I. 8740].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Debtors have asked that creditors be udvised that the only individual creditors who the Debtors believe might be eligible to assert these Creditor SLCFC Claims against shareholders are certain creditors of Tribune Company, whose stock was purchased from the shareholders in conjunction with the LBO.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that copies of the Reversion Motion may be: (i) obtained free of charge from Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC's ("Epiq") dedicated website related to the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases (http://chapter11.epiqsystems.com/tribune) or by telephoning Epiq at (646) 282-2400; (ii) obtained free of charge from the Committee's website (http://kccllc.net/bibunecommittee); (iii) inspected during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, 3rd Floor, 824 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19801; or (iv) viewed on the Internet at the Bankruptcy Court's website (http://www.deb.uscourts.gov) by following the directions for accessing the ECF system on such website.

This Notice is not intended to (i) provide or constitute legal advice or (ii) create an attorney-client relationship. All statements made herein are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Reversion Order. Creditors are urged to seek out independent legal advice concerning both the Reversion Order and Creditor SLCFC Claims for individualized legal advice.

If you have questions concerning this notice, you may contact a Committee representative by telephone at (212) 408-5119 or email at tribunginfo@chadbourne.com.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware May 4, 201 l

. . . .

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF TRIBUNE COMPANY, et al.

EXHIBIT A

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re	) Chapter 11
TRIBUNE COMPANY, et el., 1	) Case No. 08-13141 (KJC)
Debtors,	) Jointly Administered
	) D.1. 5591, 8201

ORDER GRANTING (I) RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO THE EXTENT THE AUTOMATIC STAY BARS COMMENCEMENT BY CREDITORS OF STATE LAW CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE CLAIMS TO RECOVER STOCK REDEMPTION PAYMENTS MADE TO STEP ONE SHAREHOLDERS AND STEP TWO SHAREHOLDERS AND (II) LEAVE FROM THE MEDIATION ORDER TO PERMIT COMMENCEMENT OF LITIGATION ON ACCOUNT OF SUCH CLAIMS

Upon the motion dated March I, 2011 of Aurelius Capital Management, LP, on behalf of its managed entities (collectively "Aurelius"), Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for certain series of senior notes issued by Tribune Company ("Deutsche Bank"), and Law Debenture Trust Company of New York, in

The Debians in these chapter 11 cases, stong with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal ax identification number, are: Tribune Company (835); 5800 Senset Freduction for, (5510); Bullmore Newspaper Networks, Inc. (8258); Califorpia Company (9365); 5800 Senset Freduction and (5510); Bullmore Newspaper Networks, Inc. (8258); Califorpia Company (5630); Cannel 40; Inc. (5650); Cannel 40; Cann

its capacity as successor indenture trustee for certain series of senior notes issued by Tribune Company ("Law Debenture" and, collectively with Aurelius, Deutsche Bank and Wilmington Trust Company, in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for the PHONES notes issued by Tribune Company, the "Original Plaintiff Group"), for entry of an order (I) determining that creditors have regained their state law constructive fraudulent conveyance claims to recover stock redemption payments made to Step One Shareholders (as defined below) and Step Two Shareholders (as defined below) due to the expiration of the statute of limitations under 11 U.S.C. § 546(a); (II) determining that the automatic stay does not bar the commencement of litigation by or on behalf of creditors with respect to such claims or, in the alternative, granting relief from the automatic stay to permit the commencement of such litigation; and (III) granting leave from this Court's Order Appointing Mediator [ECF No. 5591] (the "Mediation Order") to pennit the commencement of such litigation (the "Motion"); and it appearing that good and sufficient notice of the Motion was given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and the Court having considered the Motion at a hearing on March 22, 2011 (the "Hearing"); and the Court having overruled the objections to the Motion for the reasons stated at the Hearing; and after due deliberation and it appearing sufficient cause exists for granting the requested relief, it is therefore

#### ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

i

- The Motion is GRANTED to the extent set forth herein.
- 2. Because no state law constructive fraudulent conveyance claims against shareholders whose stock was redeemed or purchased in connection with the first step (such shareholders, the "Step One Shareholders") and/or the second step (such shareholders, the "Step Two Shareholders") of the 2007 leveraged buy-out of Tribune Company (the "LBO") were commenced by or on behalf of the Debtors' estates before the expiration of the applicable statute

of limitations under 11 U.S.C. § 546(a), the Debtors' creditors have regained the right, if any, to prosecute their respective state law constructive fraudulent conveyance claims against Step One Shareholders and/or Step Two Shareholders to recover stock redemption/purchase payments made to such shareholders in connection with the LBO (collectively, the "Creditor SLCFC Claims").

- 3. To the extent the automatic stay of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) stays the commencement of any Creditor SLCFC Claims, the automatic stay is hereby lifted to permit the filing of any complaint by or on behalf of creditors on account of such Creditor SLCFC Claims, including, without limitation, any complaint filed by any plaintiff in the Original Plaintiff Group.
- 4. To the extent the Mediation Order stays the commencement of any Creditor SLCFC Claims, leave is hereby granted from such Mediation Order to permit the filing of the complaint(s) referenced in paragraph 3 above.
- 5. To the extent that a creditor other than a member of the Original Plaintiff Group seeks to file its own complaint with respect to its Creditor SLCFC Claims, such creditor shall file a statement in this Court acknowledging that the creditor shall, except as provided in and in accordance with paragraph 6 below, stay all actions in the state court litigation and will otherwise adhere to the terms of this Order.
- 6. Absent further order of this Court, litigation commenced by the filing of any complaint referenced in paragraphs 3 and 5 above shall automatically be stayed in the applicable state court(s) where such complaint(s) are filed, or if not automatic in such state court(s), then application for the stay in accordance with the provisions of this Order shall be made by the Original Plaintiff Group or any other creditor that files its own complaint; provided, however, that during such stay, any party, including any plaintiff in the Original Plaintiff Group, that files such a complaint may: (a) consistent with governing rules, amend such complaint; (b) complete

service of such complaint; and (c) take such steps, including immediately pursuing discovery, as are necessary solely for the purpose of preventing applicable statutes of limitations or other time-related defenses from barring any Creditor SLCFC Claims.

- 7. Nothing in this Order shall prejudice the rights of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases (the "Creditors' Committee") or any trust established under any plan of reorganization that is confirmed in the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, including to, as the case may be, (i) pursue whatever claims are properly asserted by the Creditors' Committee or by such trusts, in any proper venue, or (ii) amend in any way the adversary complaints (Adv. Pro. Nos. 10-53963 and 10-54010) filed by the Creditors' Committee in these chapter 11 cases, or take or seek to take any other action, or assert any rights or arguments, in connection with such claims or complaints.
- 8. Nothing in this Order shall prejudice or impair any claims or defenses of any defendant in any proceeding in respect of a Creditor SLCFC Claim or any objection to any plan of reorganization currently before this Court.<sup>2</sup>
- 9. This Court shall, except with respect to the prosecution of the Creditor SLCFC Claims, retain exclusive jurisdiction to hear and decide any and all disputes relating to or arising from this Order.

Dated: 000 25 , 201

Wilmington, Delaware, 201

HONORABLE KEVIN J. CAREY Chief United States Bankruptgy Judg

cc: William P. Bowden, Esonira

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, by this Order, this Court makes no finding and issues no ruling determining the standing of the Original Plaintiff's Group (or any creditor) to assert the Creditor SLCFC Claims or whether such claims are preempted or otherwise impacted by 11 U.S.C. § 546(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Counsel shall serve a copy of this Order on all interested parties and file a Certificate of Service with this Court.

**EXHIBIT B** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re;

TRIBUNE COMPANY, et al.,

Chapter 11

Cases No. 08-13141 (KJC)
Jointly Administered

Deptors.

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF TRIBUNE COMPANY, et al.,

Plaintiff,

\_ \_\_\_\_\_

Adversary Proceeding No. 10-54010 (KJC)

DENNIS J. FITZSIMONS, et al.,

٧.

Defendants.

Ref. Nos. 8866, 8914, 8919, 8920 and Adv. Nos. 113, 119, 120, 121

## PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion (the "Motion") of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") of Tribune Company and its various debtor-subsidiaries for entry of a protective order relating to the subpoena issued pursuant to Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure by the Aurelius Plaintiff Group! seeking Tribune Company shareholder information, all written responses and objections thereto, and the hearing on the Motion held on May 17, 2011, and for good cause shown, the Court hereby enters the following order pursuant to Rule 26(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable by Rule 9014 and Rule 7026 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to govern disclosure of information and documents in connection with Tribune Company shareholder information sought in connection with the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings.

<sup>1</sup> All copitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Motion.

It is hereby ORDERED that:

- The Motion is GRANTED, 1,
- 2. In connection with this Court's Order Granting (I) Relief from the Automatic Stay to the Extent the Automatic Stay Bars Commencement by Creditors of State Law Constructive Praudulent Conveyance Claims to Recover Stock Redemption Payments Made to Step One Shareholders and Step Two Shareholders and (II) Leave from the Mediation Order to Permit Commencement of Litigation on Account of Such Claims (entered April 25, 2011) [D.I. 8740], the Committee is authorized to produce the subpoensed information to the Aurelius Plaintiff Group and to any other party that requests such information pursuant to lawful process (each a "Requesting Party"), 2 provided that the Requesting Party executes and returns to counsel for the Committee a confidentiality agreement in the same form as that attached hereto as Exhibit À.

3, The Court shall retain jurisdiction to interpret or enforce this Order.

Chief United States Bankruptcy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Committee has also received a request from counsel for the Retires Claimants for the some information sought by the Amelius Plaintiff Group.

EXHIBIT A

## CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

This Confidentiality Agreement ("<u>Agreement</u>") is entered into as of May \_\_\_, 2011 by and between Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("<u>Akin Gump</u>") and Friedman Keplan Seiler & Adelman LLP ("<u>Friedman Kaplan</u>" and together with Akin Gump, the "<u>Requesting Party</u>") and Zuckerman Speeder LLP ("<u>Zuckerman</u>") and Landis Rath & Cobb LLP ("<u>Landis</u>" and together with Zuckerman, the "<u>Producing Party</u>"; and the Requesting Party together with the Producing Party, the "<u>Parties</u>," or singly a "<u>Party</u>"), as co-counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "<u>Creditors' Committee</u>").

#### WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, Landis and Zuckerman on behalf of the Creditors' Committee filed Adversary Proceeding No. 10-54010 (KJC) on November 1, 2010 (the "Adversary Proceeding") in the bankruptcy cases filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code by the Tribune Company and certain of its affiliates (collectively, the "Debtors") titled In re Tribune Co., et al., Case No. 08-13141 (KJC) (Jointly Administered), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court");

WHEREAS, the Bankruptcy Court has granted parties represented by the Requesting Party leave to commence civil actions to assert certain claims under applicable state law (the "State-Law Actions");

WHEREAS, the Requesting Party served the Producing Party with a Subpoene with a request for documents dated April 29, 2011 (the "Subpoena"), in connection with the Adversary. Proceeding and in anticipation of the State-Law Actions;

WHEREAS, in responding to the Subpoens, the Producing Party will provide the Requesting Party with documents, as that term is defined in the subpoens ("Documents"), including Documents that contain or constitute responsive, nonpublic information or confidential or proprietary business, technical or financial information, including nonpublic or confidential information provided by one or more persons or entities (each an "Originating Party") to the Producing Party in connection with the Adversary Proceeding ("Confidential Information");

WHEREAS, any Originating Party is a third-party beneficiary of the Agreement and has an interest in protecting the confidentiality of its Confidential Information.

WHEREAS, the Parties to this Agreement acknowledge the importance of preserving the confidentiality of the Confidential Information and Confidential Information that is designated by any Originating Party as "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" (as defined below) and agree to abide by this Agreement with respect thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Perties hereby agree as follows:

1. The term "Confidential Information" shall also include any notes, summaries, compilations, memoranda, minutes or similar materials disclosing or discussing Confidential Information. The Confidential Information shall be furnished or otherwise disclosed or made known to the Requesting Party and its local counsel subject to the terms and conditions of this

Agreement. This Agreement and the obligations of the Requesting Party shall apply to all Confidential Information regardless of whether it is provided by the Producing Party or its counsel, consultants, accountants, experts, auditors, examiners, financial advisors or other agents or professionals (collectively, "Advisors").

- All Documents that the Producing Party produces in response to the Subpoene, including Documents identifying parties who subsequently may be named as parties in the State-Law Actions, will be used solely in connection with the State-Law Actions and related proceedings. All Confidential Information furnished, disclosed or made known to the Requesting Party or its local counsel by the Producing Party or its Advisors after execution of this Agreement, whether tangible or intangible, and in whatever medium provided, including, but not limited to, in written form, orally, or through any electronic, facsimile or computer-related communication, whether disclosed intentionally or unintentionally, and all information generated by the Requesting Party or its local counsel or any of their Advisors that contains, reflects or is derived from the Confidential Information, shall be used by the Requesting Party and its local counsel solely and exclusively in connection with the State-Law Actions and related proceedings. The Requesting Party and its local counsal and each of their Advisors shall each carry out its obligations hereunder using a reasonable degree of care. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "related proceedings" shall refer to any action or proceeding commonced in any federal or state court or foreign tribunal (including, but not limited to, any court or tribunal to which the State-Lew Actions are removed, transferred, or consolidated) for purposes of preserving or recovering assets, acquiring discovery in aid of and/or obtaining, enforcing, or collecting on a judgment in connection with the State-Law Actions.
- The Producing Party shell produce documents with the same designations as the documents produced to it by the Originating Party. Documents designated as "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" contain Confidential Information that is competitively sensitive and/or proprietary to the Producing Party, the Debtors or the Originating Party, or from which competitively sensitive or proprietary information belonging to the Producing Party, the Debtors or the Originating Party could be derived. This includes, without limitation:

  (a) Documents containing information relating to confidential financial and/or business operations, business ventures, strategic places, processes, designs, applications or trade secrets; and/or (b) information required to be maintained confidential by federal, state or local laws, rules, regulations or ordinances governing or relating to privacy rights, including any and all personally identifiable financial information and nonpublic personal information of customers and consumers. In addition, the Originating Party may have designated as "Confidential" those Documents that it believes in good faith constitute or contain other categories of nonpublic information that is competitive or sensitive in nature.
- 4. The Requesting Party and its local counsel shall not provide Documents designated "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" to any person or entity office than to:
  (a) attorneys, clerical, paralegal and secretarial staff employed by the Requesting Party or its local counsel, who shall be bound by this Agreement; or (b) Advisors of the Requesting Party or its local counsel who agree to be bound by this Agreement by executing the attached Exhibit A.

- 5. Any Document produced by the Producing Party to the Requesting Party may be provided to Aurelius Capital Management, LP ("<u>Aurelius</u>"), provided that Aurelius agrees to be bound by this Agreement by executing the attached Exhibit A.
- 6. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement: (a) parties who have been identified in Documents produced in response to the Subpocea may be publicly named as parties in the State-Law Actions or related proceedings, provided, however, that any such publicly-named party shall not in any way be publicly associated, linked, or otherwise identified as having been at any point in time related to or a customer of the Originating Party; and (b) information concerning the timing and amount of payments to such parties may be included in any pleading filed by the Requesting Party or its local counsel in connection with the State-Law Actions or related proceedings, provided that the Requesting Party or its local counsel takes the necessary steps to file any such pleading under seal or otherwise prevent the public release of such information.
- 7. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, nothing herein shall prevent disclosure of any information: (a) if the Requesting Party receives consent to disclose such information from either the Originating Party or the customer or olient of the Originating Party; (b) that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure, was previously in the public domain, or becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the Producing Party, the Requesting Party or Originating Party; or (c) if the Requesting Party lawfully receives such information at a later date from a third party without restriction as to disclosure, provided such third party has the right to make the disclosure to the receiving party. Upon receiving consent to disclose information pursuant to subsection (a) of this paragraph 7, the Requesting Party shall promptly notify the Producing Party and the Originating Party (if consent is provided by a customer or client of the Originating Party) and identify the information that may be disclosed.
- 8. If the Requesting Party objects to the designation of any Documents as "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" or "Confidential," or seeks a waiver of such designation, the Requesting Party will initially contact the Originating Party in an effort to resolve the dispute and, if that effort is unsuccessful, may apply to the Bankruptoy Court for relief. Upon initiating contact with the Originating Party under this paragraph 8, the Requesting Party shall promptly notify the Producing Party of such contact. Absent a written waiver or agreement from the Originating Party or an order of the Bankruptcy Court to the contrary, Documents designated "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" or "Confidential" may be shown only to the Parties specified above.
- 9. Should access to Documents stamped "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" or "Confidential" be sought from the Requesting Party or its local counsel or any Advisor retained by any of them by any person, pursuant to subpoene or other legal process under applicable law, rule, or regulation, the Requesting Party or its local counsel, as appropriate, will, unless prohibited by applicable law or regulation: (a) promptly notify counsel to the Originating Party, and the Producing Party, in writing of the requested access; (b) prior to producing any such Documents, provide the Originating Party ten (10) business days to seek a court order preventing or limiting the production of such Documents; and (c) use best efforts to enter into a confidentiality agreement with the person seeking access to such Documents that provides the Originating Party substantially the same protections as this Confidentiality Agreement.

- as provided herein, but shell remain the property of the Originating Party. Ninety (90) days following the closing of all State-Law Actions and related proceedings, each recipient of Confidential Information who received such Confidential Information in connection with State-Law Actions and related proceedings agrees to promptly destroy all Confidential Information, including, without limitation, all documents, reports and exhibits provided by or on behalf of the Producing Party and all working papers containing any Confidential Information or extracts therefrom. In addition, and subject to the same proviso as immediately above, each recipient agrees at that time to destroy all copies of any notes, analyses, compilations, studies or other documents that it or its Advisors prepared containing or reflecting any Confidential Information. If requested by the Producing Party or the Originating Party, after destruction each recipient of Confidential Information will deliver a certificate executed by an appropriate officer of the recipient certifying that all such materials have been destroyed.
- . 11. In order to facilitate the requirements of paragraphs 7, 8, 9, and 10 of this Agreement, the Producing Party shall provide the Requesting Party with information sufficient to specifically identify the Originating Party of any Document or information produced to the Requesting Party in response to the Subpoena.
- 12. The Requesting Party acknowledges that irreparable damage would occur to the Originating Party if any of the provisions of this Agreement were not performed in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached and that remedies at law for any actual or threatened breach by it of the covenants contained in this Agreement shall be inadequate and that the Originating Party will be entitled to equitable relief, including injunction and specific performance, in the event of any breach of the provisions of this Agreement, in addition to all other remedies available to the Originating Party at law or in equity. During the pendency of the State-Law Actions and related proceedings, all Parties hereto consent to the jurisdiction and venue of the Bankruptcy Court with respect to any controversy or claims arising out of or related to this Agreement or the use or disclosure or rights pertaining to Confidential Information. Upon conclusion of all State-Law Actions and related proceedings, all Parties consent to the jurisdiction and venue of the federal and state courts located in the State of Delaware with respect to any such controversy or claims. EACH PARTY HERETO HEREBY IRREVOCABLY WAIVES ANY AND ALL RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY LEGAL PROCEEDING ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT.
- 13. The inadvertent production of privileged information by the Producing Party or the Originating Party shall not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege and the Requesting Party and its local counsel will return to the Producing Party any such materials inadvertently produced.

14. Nothing in this Agreement serves as a waiver of any objections that an Originating Party may have in any State Law Actions or related proceedings.
Executed this day of May, 2011.
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
Ву:
FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER & ADELMAN LLP
Ву:
LANDIS RATH & COBB LLP
Ву:
ZUCKERMAN & SPAEDER LLP
Ву:

## EXHIBIT A

In connection with the Confidentiality Agreement (the "Agreement") dated May \_\_\_\_, 2011 between Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("Akin Gump") and Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP ("Friedman Kaplan" and together with Akin Gump, the "Requesting Party") and Zuokerman Spaeder LLP ("Zuokerman") and Landis Rath & Cobb LLP ("Landis" and together with Zuokerman, the "Producing Party"; and the Requesting Party together with the Producing Party, the "Parties," or singly a "Party"), as co-counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee"), the undersigned hereby agrees as follows (capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to those terms in the Agreement):

- 1. The undersigned has (i) been provided with a copy of the Agreement, (ii) read the Agreement, (iii) had an opportunity to review the Agreement with counsel, and (iv) been authorized to execute this Exhibit A to the Agreement.
- 2. To the extent that the Requesting Party or its local counsel provides the undersigned with documents designated "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" produced pursuant to the Agreement and the Subpoena, the undersigned agrees to be bound by the Agreement and that such documents shall only be used in connection with the State-Law Actions and related proceedings and shall not be provided to anyone other than as permitted under the Agreement.
- 3. Should access to Documents designated "Confidential" or "Flighly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" be sought from the undersigned by any person, pursuant to subpost or other legal process under applicable law, rule, or regulation, the undersigned agrees that, unless prohibited by applicable law or regulation, it will: (a) promptly notify counsel to the Originating Party, and the Producing Party, in writing of the requested access; and (b) prior to producing such Documents, provide the Originating Party with a reasonable opportunity to seak a court order preventing or limiting the production of such Documents.
- 4. The undersigned agrees that the inadvertent production of privileged information by the Originating Party shall not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege and that it will return to the Originating Party any such materials inadvertently produced.

Agreed and Accepted:	May, 2011
(Company Name)	•
Ву:	
Name:	
Title:	

# **EXHIBIT C**

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	EXHIBIT C				
Confidential Stock Transferens	Additional Confidential Stock Transferes Information	Address	GW.	5	7in
By Antoinette B Brumbaugh Pladged To ML Landar		אס אטא אביב	- 1	ļ	
Aviv Nevo	THAT THE TAX TO THE TA	, , ,	WOODACKE	5	1949/3
		TELEVISION CONTRACTOR IN CONTR	LOS AMGELES CA SORA		
B Woods & L Milcholl Ttos - Woods/Milcholl Family Trust U/A DTD 01/25/1999	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND	2865 ALBATROSS ST	SAN DIEGO CA 92103		
Bardays Gbl Investors NA	A/C AP1 MSCI World Index Plus	45 FREMONT STREET EL 33	SAN EBANCISCO		
		SUITE 100 AVARD WALKER	A. I. S. I.	ς	24 TO2-2-01 PE
Palisouth Corn	(Vicesel Group Inc.)	50 BEALE STREET	SAN FRANCISCO	<u> </u>	94105
Non-Representable Health Care Trust	C/O First Quadrant	800 EAST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE			
Charilable Rem Unitrust #2	distance of the state of the st			Ç	91101
Bernard Ochor 2005 Charlish	11000	+ 15021 BOILDING 2011 E 755	SAN FRANCISCO	Ç.	94111-4243
Bernard Oshor Trust U/A Did 3/8/88		A BAILDING			94111-4243
CA Public Ee Reimmi Sys	CALPERS SW5J AC Domestic Enhanced Index St	400 P STREET RM 3492	SACREMENTO		59717145
California Ironworkers Fiold	Pension Trust	/E. SUITE 330		2	D1401 4075
CALPERS (California Pub. Emp. Retire. Sys.)					
CALPERS (Dynamic Completion Fund)		AGNI	SACRAMENTO	5 5	95811
Camilla Chandler Family Foundation		CK AVENUE #8E AND 8F			90024
Catherine A Verdusco Tiee U/A Did 12/13/1989 Benjamin J. Verdusco Trust	Delaware Charter G&T Ties FBO		CO	Ç.	94117-4012
Catherine A Verdusco as Truslee U/A Did 12/13/1989 Francesca J. Verdusco Trusl		The boundary in the second	LO3 ANGELES	S	90012
		215 S SANTA FE AVE #9	LOS ANGELES (	CA	90012
Catholic Healthcare West		SUITE 300 BILL BAIRD 185 BERRY STREET 5	SAN FRANCISCO	CA 9	94107-1739
		170			107-1739

		LA JOLLA CA 92037	9736 LA JOLLA FARMS RO	ATTN MM123	Reinfold & Shelley Weege Tiee • Weege Family Trust • U/A 6/21/89
90067-4003	\$	LOS ANGELES	770	Yeild Strategies Fund I LP	Re Camden Asset Mgmt LP
94133	S	SAN FRANCISCO	909 MONTGOMERY ST., STE. 406	C/O Jerald M. Weintraub	rnsm Panners II, L.P.
94104-4814	Š	SAN FRANCISCO	SUITE 4100	Alth: Jeraid M. Weintraub	Prism Partners II Offshore Fund
94104-4814	CA	SAN FRANCISCO	SUITE 4100	Altn: Jerald M. Weintraub	Prism Parlners Offshore Fund
94104-4814	CA	SAN FRANCISCO	SUITE 4100	Athr. Jerald M. Weintraub  Ciff Weintraub Capitel Med	Prism Partners IV Leveraged Offshore Fund
94104-4814	ς	SAN FRANCISCO	44 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 4100	C/O Weintraub Capital Mgt. Attn: Jeraid M, Weintraub	Prism Pariners II Lavoraged, L.P.
94104-4814	Ç	SAN FRANCISCO	44 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 4100	C/O Weintraub Capitel Mgt. Attn: Jereid M. Weintraub	Prism Partners I, L.P.
94105	S	SAN FRANCISCO	ONE MARKET SPEAR TOWER	PG&E Qual CPUC Ndt Partnership	ה ספר גנים קומי ועני רמים ונואווף
91711-4739	ζ	CLAREMONT	150 W. FIRST 5T #280		PRACE Over Cour Ald Badracetic
		LOS ANGELES CA 90004	515 N LILLIAN WAY	THE TAXABLE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Patricia H Yeomans Tites - The Yeomans Family Trust U/A 2/22/92
90210	\$	BEVERLY HILLS	806 N FOOTHILL RD		Nancy Fay Johnson
90067-3105	5 3	LOS ANGELES	2050 CENTURY PARK EAST STE 330	Teamsters Separate Account	Monumental Life Insurance Co
CRRC 20110	Γ.Δ	SAN FRANCISCO	MELLON CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 595 MARKET STREET - SUITE 3000	Fit Collective Investment Plan	Mallon Bank N.A. Emp Ben Pi
	2855	PACIFIC GROVE CA 93950-2855	- 1	The state of the s	Max S Bell and Jean F Bell
91108	Ş.	SAN MARINO	RIEL BLVD		Lucile M Dum Tiee U/A Dtd 12/19/1991 Lucile Movey Dunn Trust
90004-1042	2	LOS ANGELES			Leonard F. Hill, Tiee Hill Revocable Living Trust Dtd 12/24/91
94617	\$	OAKLAND		(Kaiser Permanente Rebbi Trust)	Kaiser Fdn Hith Plans+Hospital
-	1	LOS ANGELES CA 90024	10560 WILSHIRE BLVD APT 503		Jay Leichenger Ttee - Jay Leichenger Trust - U/A DTD 08/02/1978
	27	LOS ANGELES CA 90041-3127		111111	Janna L Gadden
94103-6225	\$	SAN FRANCISCO	650 TOWNSEND ST. SUITE 480	C/O Rabin Worldwide	Irving & Varda Rabin 1992 Revocable Trust
	<del>u</del>	GLAREMONT CA 91711-4739	150 W. FIRST ST #280	PAUL M. MAHONEY TTEES	Iris B. Mahoney & Paul M. Mahoney Trees for Iris B. Mahoney Ravocable Trust UIAID 04/10/98
		THE STATE OF	,		
94115-1930	8	SAN FRANCISCO	1 1	Delaware Charter G&T Ttee	Herbert G. Lau Profit Sharing Orp Participation
		SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104	ONE SANSOME ST. SUITE 3300	PVA-NOR066 / STATE OF NORTH CAROL	Glass Lewis & Co.
94111	CA	SAN FRANCISCO	TRUST DEPT	1994	First Republic Bank
94104	\$	SAN FRANCISCO	220 MONTGOMERY ST/STE 600		Territor Chinasa Pro
		SOQUEL CA 95073-9509			Firmka Onlines I C
94104	ξ	SAN FRANCISCO	950		Cutler Group LP
94104	ς	SAN FRANCISCO	211 MAIN STREET	(Charles Schwab Inv. Mgt. Co)	Charles Schwab Inv. Mgt. Co
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- Parista			

94105	CA S	SAN FRANCISCO	211 Main Street		Schwab Institutional Select S&P 500 Fund (2M37)
94	S	SAN FRANCISCO			Schwab S&P 500 Index Fund (2M32)
920	CA	Newport Beach	Drive	Pacific Select Fund	Schwah 1000 Jaday Find (2005)
94105	CA	SAN FRANCISCO	ET - SUITE 3000	PL-FIT COLLECTIVE INVESTMENT PLAN	EB RUSSELL 1000 VALUE STOCK-EB DV LARGE CAP VALUE STOCK INDEX
90012	Ç	LOS ANGELES	111 NORTH HOPE STREET		T ROWE PRICE LG VAL -DISABILITY & DEATH BENEFIT INSURANCE PLAN
94105	CA	SAN FRANCISCO	RTOWER	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	LOS ANGELES DEPT OF WATER AND POWER Employee RET-
92101	CA	SAN DIEGO			CHETTAL CHETAGT PRIVATED NAVIET LAUG
92130	ÇA	San Diego			SELVIDO ENERGY DEVISION CONTROL TO THE
ور	S	Newport Beach	620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 900	VALLE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	Research Affiliates Fundamental Index LP
+				WWW.min.ma.	Added December 2011
90036-2112	Ç	LOS ANGELES	7800 BEVERLEY BLVD, SUITE 3371	C/O Bell Phillip Television	(Cash & Holding Account)
94111-4243	5	SAN FRANCISCO	SUITE 255	Will K. Weinstein Tte	Will K. Weinstein Revocable Trust U/A Did 2-27-90 William J. Bail Ties William - Lemos Bail 1903 Tr. I VA 6/22/03
91352-1121	2	SUN VALLEY		In The Matter Of WG Trading	WG Trading Co LP
94104-4814	Ç	SAN FRANCISCO	44 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 4100	Attn: Tim Dedering	weinifaut Capital Management
90012 2690	Č.	LOS ANGELES		Water And Power Employees' Ret	Water And Power Employeos' Ret
92101-3568	ζ	SAN DIEGO	550 WEST C STREET SUITE 1700	C/O Gatto Pope & Walwick LLP	Verna R. Harrah Trust Special Account Dtd 9/5/86
94104	CA	SAN FRANCISCO		The state of the s	V Trader Pro LLC
94607-9828	ζ	CAKLAND	ROBERT YASTISHAK 1111 BROADWAY	(SCAL Regents)	Universily Of CA Regents
	-			The state of the s	
91030	2	SOUT PASADENA	N DRIVE	manufacture de del de la company de de la company de de la company de la	The Whittier Trust Company
- V-UE376	3	E1 CERRITO		Partnership C/O Charles H. Spurgeon	The Spurgeon Family Limited
90292	5	MARINA DEL REY		THE PARTY OF THE P	Synergy Capital Management Llc
95605-2807	\$	SACRAMENTO	TIREMENT SYS 275 LACE 14TH FL	(State Of California)	STRS
94026	CA	Menlo Park			Sianglord University LLV
·		OAKLAND CA 94610-4428	07	THE PARTY OF THE P	JUA 12/11/74 FBO Shirely Dichek
92101	CA	SAN DIEGO	101 ASH STREET	Sempra Energy Pension Master	Sempra Energy Pension Mstr Trst
91770	C <sub>A</sub>	ROSEMEAD	2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE	SC Edison Nuclear Facilities	SC Edison Nuclear Facilities
			ALIFIED CPUC DECOM MASTER		
90017	CA			Arnold, Richard S. (Margaret Mckenzie Living Tst UIA Did 02/23/1994)	San Pasqual Fiduciary Trust Co.
-		NEWCASTLE CA 95658	PO BOX 1248	·	Samuel Moore Tiee • Samuel S, Moore Trust • U/A DTD 10/11/1988
95812-0687	Ç	SACRAMENTO	JEFF STATES 980 9TH ST STE 1800	(Sacramento County Ret Assoc)	Sacramenio Cnly Emp Ret System
95129	2	SANJOSE	S E SUITE 200	(SA Funds Investment Trust)	RWB
94105	CA CA	SAN FRANCISCO CA	199 FREMONT STREET 23RD FLOOR	C/O Ken Hiller Folger Levin LLP	Rosenberg Revocable Trust - Claude And Louise Rosenberg Trustees
7575-75705	100	MEMBORT DEACH CA DOS			Robert D Friedman Ttee - Friedman Living Trust -1//A 08/04/99
	3		13650 MARINA POINTE DR UNIT 15		Richard & Carol Askin Ttee U/A Old 09/27/1990. By Askin Family Trust
					177774-

Schwab Total Stock Market Index Fund (2M40)	The second secon	211 Main Street	SAN FRANCISCO	<u></u>	94105
SCHWAB FUNDAMENTAL US LG CO (2MB1)		211 Main Strugt	SAN FRANCISCO	S	94105
City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan	Attn: Tom Lopez, CIO	360 E. 2nd Street, 6th Floor	LOS ANGELES	Ç.	90012
San Francisco City & County Employees' Retiment System	Attn: David E. Kushner	30 Van Noss Avenue, Suite 3000	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	94103
Harvey Mudd College	Attn: Scott Mertin	301 East 12th Street	Claremont	CA	91711
City Employee's Retirement System of the City of Los Angeles	Attr: Wayne Ige, Interim CiO	350 E. 2nd Street, 6th Floor	LOS ANGELES	CA	90012
The Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University		2770 Sand Hill Road	Menio Park	CA	94025
U2114 Whitier IR CO Value A 01791	The Whittier Trust Company	1600 Huntington Driva	South Pasadena	ÇA	91030
Southern California Edison, NDT	SC Edison Nuclear Facilities	2244 Wainut Grove Avenue	Rosemead	CA	91770
B4301 First Republic Hank	First Republic Bank	111 Pine Street	SAN FRANCISCO	C.A	94111